

<u>Audit Committee – 24th September 2009</u>

Report of the Interim Director of Finance

Audit Committee Benchmarking Report

Purpose of the Report

1. To give Members an overview of information on Audit Committee practice identified via consultation with other Heads of Audit at the Midland Audit Group.

Background

2. <u>Previous Assessments of the Audit Committee</u>

In October 2007 an exercise was carried out by the Audit Committee to discuss and evaluate the Terms of Reference and functions of the Committee. To facilitate the discussion two CIPFA publications were provided to demonstrate CIPFA model guidance i.e:-

CIPFA "Audit Committees – Practical Guidance for Local Authorities" CIPFA "A Toolkit for Local Authority Audit Committees"

The publications included checklists which could be used to assess the Terms of Reference, functions and effectiveness of an audit committee. The checklists were completed by Audit in conjunction with the then Chair and Vice Chair of the Audit Committee and reviewed by the Audit Committee at a special meeting. It was concluded that the Dudley MBC Audit Committee operated largely in line with the CIPFA models, with only minor exceptions.

The Head of Audit compiles an annual review on the system of audit and the associated report was presented to Audit Committee in June 2009. The review includes an assessment of the relevance of the checklists referred to above, and the Head of Audit confirms the completed checklists still demonstrate adherence to the CIPFA model guidance.

3. Benchmarking

The Head of Audit became aware of a press article in the Express & Star which indicated that the Audit Committee at Wolverhampton City Council was introducing two sub committees. Subsequent research identified that for the 2009/10 municipal year Audit Committee meetings were planned as follows:-

- a. Audit Committee 11 meetings
- b. Audit [Examination of Paid Accounts, Monitoring of Audit Investigations] Sub Committee – 8 meetings
- c. Audit [Final Accounts Monitoring & Review] Sub Committee 5 meetings

The Audit Committee has eight Members and the Sub Committees have four Members each.

Based on these findings contact was made with other Heads of Audit in the region to ascertain what their authorities arrangements were for Audit Committees. Responses were received from eight authorities and the information provided is shown in Appendix 1.

Dudley MBC Audit Committee has the largest number of members and the fewest meetings. The agenda for other Authorities goes beyond ours in some cases as evidenced by the "Notes" section in Appendix 1

In all Authorities supplying information the political membership of the Audit Committee was reflective of the overall Council membership. In three Authorities a non elected independent member had been recruited, in similar manner to the Dudley MBC Standards Committee.

Whilst there are a number of common reports received by all the Committees it appears that other authorities Committees are receiving interim reports during the year to show Audit Services progress against the plan. These typically include details of performance and the results of audits. We use an alternative system in submitting annual Directorate and performance reports.

However, I would suggest there is scope for Audit Services to submit interim progress reports to the September and February meetings, and these reports should provide the Audit Committee with updates on :-

- a. Performance
- b. Results of audit work following up on services which received poor audit reports [i.e. "Nil" or "Minimal" level of assurance]

One area of possible inconsistency concerns Treasury Management reports which in the majority of authorities do not go to Audit Committee, rather these reports are presented to the Cabinet. However, new CIPFA guidance currently out to consultation on the back of the banking "crisis" arising from some Councils who invested in Icelandic Banks suggests the Audit Committee should perform a scrutiny function and receive Treasury Management reports. We will update the Audit Committee as necessary once the final guidance is issued if any changes are required.

The Head of Audit Services was instrumental in this piece of benchmarking research and he concluded that in his opinion, significant changes to the operation of the Audit Committee were not appropriate.

Finance

4. There are no direct financial implications in this report.

Law

5. The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2006 require the Council to have an adequate and effective system of internal audit which includes all elements of the audit framework including the Audit Committee.

Equality Impact

- 6. This report does not raise any equal opportunities issues.
- 7. The work of Internal Audit helps to protect the interests of children and young people, albeit they were not consulted on, or involved, with the development of the work carried out.

Recommendations

- 8. That the Committee considers the contents of this report.
- 9. That the Committee endorses the introduction of interim reports on Audit Services activities. These reports to be presented to the September and February meetings.

Raler w

Bill Baker Interim Director of Finance

Contact Officer: Les Bradshaw (ext. 4853)

<u>Appendix 1</u> :

Audit Committee Practices – Analysis

	Dudley	Sandwell	Birmingham	Coventry	Leicester	Telford & Wrekin	Walsall	Derby	Solihull
No. of Members	9	6	7	6	7	6	8	8	4
Membership split between political parties	6 Tory 3 Labour	1 Conservative 3 Labour 1 Liberal Dem. 1 Independent	2 Conservative 2 Labour 2 Liberal Dem. 1 Respect	3 Conservative 2 Labour 1 Liberal Dem.	1 Conservative 5 Labour 1 Liberal Dem.	2 Conservative 2 Labour 1 Liberal Dem. 1 Independent	4 Conservative 2 Labour 1 Liberal Dem. 1 Independent	2 Conservative 3 Labour 3 Liberal Dem.	 Conservative Labour Liberal Dem. Independent
No. of meetings held per annum (average)	4	7	6	6	6	6	9	4	5
Internal Audit Planning Report	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Interim Progress Reports	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Audit Commission – Annual Audit & Inspection Letter	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Audit Commission – Plans	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Audit Commission – Annual Governance Report	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Annual Governance Statement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Annual Review of System of Audit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Directorate Annual Reports	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Exceptions to Standing Orders	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Treasury Management	Yes	No - Cabinet	No - Cabinet	Yes & Cabinet	No – Performance & VFM Select Committee	No - Cabinet	No - Cabinet	No - Cabinet	Yes & Cabinet
Annual Accounts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

	Dudley	Sandwell	Birmingham	Coventry	Leicester	Telford & Wrekin	Walsall	Derby	Solihull
Risk Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Fraud Report	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Selected Accounts	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Gifts & Hospitality Policy	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Anti Fraud & Corruption Policy	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Code of Corporate Governance	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Audit Committee Annual Report to Council	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Other Agenda items	Note A	<u>Note B</u>	Note C	<u>Note D</u>	<u>Note E</u>	Note F	<u>Note G</u>	<u>Note H</u>	<u>Note I</u>

Note A

Audit Charter & Strategy Approval Leaps & Bounds Follow up reporting options NFI Update

Note B

Investigation regarding the relocation of Sandwell Homes to Dartmouth House Selection of a Selected Account Establishment of 'Members Skills Set' and identification of 'Skills Gap' Consideration of the production of an Audit Committee Annual Report for 2008/9 2008 Audit Committee Self Assessment Action Sheet Update Review of Audit Committee Member Training and Establishment of an Audit Committee Members Skills Set Internal Audit Fraud Recommendation Tracking Report Use of SBS for Procuring Goods and Services Directors Assurance Statement External Audit Recommendation Tracking Report Consideration of Voting Rights for the Independent Member Sandwell Business System Update Use of SBS for Procuring Goods and Services Submission of Audit Committee Annual Report to Council

Note C

Ombudsman Report Concerning 'Ms D' Benefits Service Voice Recognition Analysis Pilot Final Report Liaison and Notification Arrangements between Benefit Service and Housing Services City Council Internet Service Business Transformation: Realising the Benefits Birmingham Audit – Data Matching Internet Monitoring Benefit Service Voice Risk Analysis Pilot Cost Benefit Analysis Report Corporate Service Transformation – BT Programme – Closure Reports City Council Internet Security Councillors External Call Facilities Meeting of Core Cities Audit Committee Chairmen The Local Government Ombudsmen's Annual Report 2007/08 U1date on Progress Following the Ombudsman's Report Concerning 'Ms D' Business Transformation: Benefits Realisation Board Birmingham's Compliance with Bichard Recommendations: Safer Recruitment and Employment Update

Note D

2006-07 New Deals for Community Review 2007-08 Business Continuity Review Data Quality Review Training ICT Healthcheck Follow up 2007-08 Coventry Direct Review Housing Benefit Fraud Update 200-08 Use of Resources Review

Note E

Draft of the Committee's report to Council for the previous financial year

Note F

Discussion about how the Audit Committee will relate to the Value for Money Scrutiny Group (invite the Chair to attend)

Note G

JUNE 08 Role, remit and work programme Corporate Financial Performance Audit Committee Self-assessment Selected internal audit reports for scrutiny Review of Governance Framework and Effectiveness, including Local Code of Governance Review and appraisal of officers' statutory responsibilities/delegations Approval of the corporate integrated planning and performance framework Review of the effectiveness of the Audit Committee

Note H

Progress and Performance of the Derbyroads Partnership Concessionary Fares IT Security – Virus Infection Cash Advances Called in Audits – Parking Enforcement Data Quality

Note I

CPA (CAA) updates Challenges on those areas identified by Internal Audit as having limited or no assurance