PLANNING APPLICATION NUMBER:P12/1519

Type of approval s	ought	Tree Preservation Order		
Ward		Lye and Stourbridge North		
Applicant		Black Country Housing Group Ltd		
Location:	STAMBERMII DY9 8AE	LL HOUSE, CEMETERY ROAD, LYE, STOURBRIDGE,		
Proposal	TREE. RAISE BEECH TREE LIFT 1 YEW T CROWN RED TREES AND I	Y TREE, CORSICAN PINE TREE AND 1 LABURNUM CROWN TO 2 CORSICAN PINE TREES AND 1 E. CROWN THIN 1 CORSICAN PINE TREE. CROWN TREE. POLLARD 1 LIME TREE. RAISE CANOPY AND UCE 4 LIME TREES. GRIND OUT STUMPS TO 2 LIME REMOVE SECONDARY LIMB TO 1 SORBUS AND 1 EROWN LIFT 1 SCOTS PINE TO 6M		
Recommendation Summary:	APPROVE SU	SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS		

TREE PRESERVATION ORDER NO: TPO/0001/LYE (2009) - G1, G2 & T2

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

- 1. The trees subject to this application are 5 mature Lime trees, a mature beech tree, 5 mature pine trees and a yew tree that are located within the grounds of Stambermill House, Cemetery Road, Lye.
- 2. The trees are visible form the adjacent public highways, and are considered to provide a high to moderate amount of amenity to the area.
- 3. The trees subject to this application are described as T2, G1 and G2 of TPO/0001/LYE which was served in 2009.

PROPOSAL

- 4. Summary of proposals for the works as written on application form is as follows:
 - Fell 1 Pine Tree;
 - Crown lift 4 Pine trees, 1 beech and 1 yew tree;
 - Crown lift and crown reduce 4 lime trees;
 - Pollard 1 lime tree.

- 5. The trees have been marked on the attached plan.
- 6. The application also proposed to undertake works to other trees on the site. However on inspection only the trees listed above were found to be protected. As such no consideration has been given to the other trees.

HISTORY

7. There have been two previous Tree Preservation Order applications on this site.

Application No	Proposal	Decision	Date
P05/1991	Prune various trees	Approved with conditions	26/09/2005
P12/0219	Fell 2 Lime trees	Withdrawn	03/04/2012

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

8. No public representations have been received.

ASSESSMENT

Tree(s) Appraisal

Tree Structure	Tree 1	Tree 2	Tree 3	Tree 4
Species	Pine	Pine	Beech	Lime
Height (m)	15	15	13	12
Spread (m)	9	9	8	6
DBH (mm)	650	650	700	600
Canopy Architecture	Good	Good	Good	Moderate – Old Pollard
Overall Form	Good	Good	Good	Moderate
Age Class Yng / EM / M / OM / V	Mature	Mature	Mature	Mature

Structural

Assessment

Trunk / Root Collar	Good	Good	Good	Good
Scaffold Limbs	Good	Good	Good	Moderate – old pollard
Secondary Branches	Good	Good	Good	Good
% Deadwood	5%	5%	3%	7%

Root Defects	None Evident		None E	vident	None I	None Evident		Evident
Root Disturbance	None	Evident	None Evident		None Evident		None Evident	
Other								
Failure Foreseeable Imm / Likely / Possible	Whole No	Whole No	Whole No	Whole No	Whole N o	Whole N o	Whole No	Whole No
/ No								
Vigour Assessment	ı		ı		1			
Vascular Defects	None	Evident	None E	vident	None I	Evident	None	Evident
Foliage Defects	None	Evident	None E	vident	None I	Evident	None	Evident
Leaf Size	G	ood	Go	od	Not I	า Leaf	Not I	n Leaf
Foliage Density	G	ood	Go	od	Not I	1 Leaf	Not I	n Leaf
Other								
Overall								
Assessment							1	
Structure	G	ood	Good		Good		Moderate	
Vigour	G	bod	Good		Good		Good	
Overall Health	Good		Good		Good		Moderate	
Other Issues								
Light Obstruction	No		N	0	N	lo	1	No
Physical Damage	None	Evident	None Evident		None I	Evident	None	Evident
Surface Disruption	None	Evident	None Evident		None Evident		None Evident	
Debris	Sc	me	Some		Some		Some	
<u>Amenity</u>								
<u>Assessment</u>								
Visible	Yes		'es Yes		Y	es	Y	'es
Prominence	High		Hi	gh	High		Mod	lerate
Part of Wider	No		N	0		es		′es
Feature?	'						ļ '	
Characteristic of	١	'es	Yes		Yes		\ \	'es
Area								
Amenity Value	Н	igh	Hi	gh	Hi	gh	Mod	<u>lerate</u>

Tree Structure	Tree 5	Tree 6	Tree 7	Tree 8-11
Species	Yew	Pine	Pine	Lime x 4
Height (m)	5	15	8	15
Spread (m)	5	7	4	6
DBH (mm)	250	650	450	Multiple @ 150-200
Canopy Architecture	Moderate – suppressed	Good	Poor - Major acute angled and included	Moderate

					fo	rle		
Overall Form	C	ood	Co	od	Mode		Mod	lerate
Age Class	G,	<u>oou</u>	GU	ou	Mou	erate	IVIOC	ierate
Yng / EM / M / OM / V	Ma	iture	Mat	ure	Mat	ure	Ма	iture
Structural								
Assessment								
					Mode	rate -		
Trunk / Root					Large	area	Co	od /
Collar	G	ood	Go	od	wounde	d stem.		lerate
Contai					Has ca	Has callused		iciate
					ov	er		
Scaffold Limbs	G	ood	Go	od	Go	od	G	ood
Secondary	G	ood	Go	od	Go	od	G	ood
Branches								
% Deadwood		3%		%		%		9%
Root Defects		Evident		Evident	1	vident		Evident
Root Disturbance	None	Evident	None I	Evident	None E	vident	None	Evident
Other	Whole	Whole	Whala	Whole	Whala	Whole	Whole	Whole
Failure Foreseeable	No	No	Whole No	No	Whole No	Possibl	No	Possibl
Imm / Likely / Possible / No	140	140	140	140	140	e	140	e e
Vigour Assessment					1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Vascular Defects	None	Evident	None E	vident	None Evident		None Evident	
Foliage Defects	None	Evident	None Evident		None E	vident	None	Evident
Leaf Size	G	ood	Go	Good		od	Not	n Leaf
Foliage Density	G	ood	Go	od	Go	od	Not	n Leaf
Other								
Overall								
Assessment	1		Т		T		T	
Structure	G	ood	Go	od	Moderate / Poor		Moderate	
Vigour	G	ood	Go	od	Good		Good	
Overall Health	G	ood	Go	od	Moderat	e / Poor		od /
							Mod	lerate
Other Issues	ı		Г		T		Τ	
Light Obstruction	Y	'es	Yes		Yes			'es
Physical Damage		Evident None Evident		None Evident			Evident	
Surface Disruption		Evident	None Evident			vident		Evident
Debris	Sc	ome	Some		So	me	So	ome
<u>Amenity</u> <u>Assessment</u>								
Visible	Y	'es	Y	es	Ye	es	Y	'es
								erate /
Prominence	L	ow	Mod	erate	Mode	erate	Н	igh

Part of Wider Feature?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Characteristic of Area	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Amenity Value	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate / High

Tree Structure	Tree 12
Species	Pine
Height (m)	10
Spread (m)	6
DBH (mm)	450
Canopy	Moderate
Architecture	Moderate
Overall Form	Moderate
Age Class	Maturo
Yng / EM / M / OM / V	Mature

Structural

Assessment

	1		
Trunk / Root Collar	Good		
Scaffold Limbs	G	ood	
Secondary Branches	G	ood	
% Deadwood	!	5%	
Root Defects	None	Evident	
Root Disturbance	None	Evident	
Other			
Failure Foreseeable Imm / Likely / Possible	Whole No	Whole No	
/ No			

Vigour Assessment

Vascular Defects	None Evident
Foliage Defects	None Evident
Leaf Size	Good
Foliage Density	Good
Other	

Overall

Assessment

Structure	Good
Vigour	Good
Overall Health	Good

Other Issues

Light Obstruction	No
Physical Damage	None Evident
Surface Disruption	None Evident
Debris	Some

Amenity

Assessment

Visible	Yes
Prominence	Moderate /
	Low
Part of Wider	
Feature?	No
Characteristic of	
Area	Yes
Amenity Value	Moderate

Further Assessment

- 9. The applicant has proposed to undertake various works to the trees on the site as part of their ongoing maintenance of the trees in order to reduce the impacts of the trees on the residents of the property, and to ensure the trees are retained in a good condition for the future.
- 10. The works proposed to Trees 1-3 and Trees 5-6 involve minor crown lifting, and in the case of Tree 6, minor crown thinning. The proposed works are all acceptable as they will have minimal impact on the shape and form of the trees; will have no impact on the overall health of the trees and will serve to remove and minor branches that are hanging low over the car park. It is recommended that these works are approved.
- 11. The applicant has proposed to re-pollard Tree 4, as it has developed a leggy crown due to competition form the adjacent trees. This has resulted in long, slender limbs that have the potential to fail. It is proposed that following the pollarding of the tree it will be maintained as a pollard tree at a lower level. Whilst the proposed pollarding of the tree will reduce the individual amenity value of the tree, it is not considered that the works will have any significant impact on the amenity value of the wider group. As such it is recommended that the proposal to pollard this tree is approved.
- 12. It is proposed to fell Tree 7 due to concerns about its safety. On inspection it was noted that the main fork of the tree has formed at an acute angle with included bark. Such acute angled forks are well known areas of failure. Given the location of the tree, and its proximity to the adjacent building it is considered that the felling of the

tree is appropriate. As the tree is located at the back of the wider group of trees (when viewed from the road) it is considered that the removal of this tree will have a minimal impact on the amenity value of the group. Given the number of trees on site it is not considered that a replacement tree is required.

- 13. Trees 8-11 are a group of 4 lime trees that are located adjacent to the boundary of the site with the public footpath and the rear gardens of the properties in Green Lane. It is proposed to crown reduce the trees by 20% and crown lift them to 4 metres in order to keep that at a size appropriate for their location. It is considered that the proposed works are acceptable. Whilst the pruning of the trees will make them noticeably smaller, they will still be significant features in the area and will continue to provide a good amount of amenity to the surrounding area.
- 14. Tree 12 is a medium sized Scots Pine tree that is located adjacent to the flats and close to the boundary with the access drive for the Cemetery. It is proposed to crown lift the tree to provide clearance from the guttering of the adjacent building. The works are minor and will have little impact on the health or amenity value of the tree.
- 15. Overall it is considered that the proposed works are all acceptable and as such it is recommended that the application is approved.

CONCLUSION

- 16. The applicant has proposed to prune various trees across the site and to fell a pine trees. The proposed pruning is all considered to be part of good management of the trees and is considered to be acceptable.
- 17. It is considered that the proposed felling of the pine tree is acceptable due to the presence of a known defect at the main fork. It is not considered that the felling of this tree will have any significant impact on the amenity of the area. Given the number of trees on site it is not considered that a replacement tree is required.
- 18. Overall it is considered that all of the proposed works are appropriate and justified. As such it is recommended that the application is approved.

RECOMMENDATION

19. It is recommended that application is approved subject to the stated conditions set out below.

Reason For Approval

Overall it is considered that the proposed works are justified and appropriate by virtue of the condition of the trees and their locations. The proposed works will have little impact on the amenity of the area, whilst ensuring the trees are maintained in a

Conditions and/or reasons:

1. The tree works subject of this consent shall be carried out in accordance with British Standard BS 3998:2010 `Recommendations for Treework'.

