PLANNING APPLICATION NUMBER:P13/1694

Type of approval sought		Advertisement
Ward		St James's
Applicant		Jan Butcher, Marketing Force Limited
Location:	ISLAND AT KINGSWINFORD ROAD AND PENSNETT ROAD, DUDLEY, WEST MIDLANDS	
Proposal	DISPLAY OF 4 NO. NON ILLUMINATED SPONSORSHIPS SIGNS	
Recommendation Summary:	APPROVE SU	JBJECT TO CONDITIONS

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

- The application site consists of a landscaped vehicular roundabout at the junction of Kingswinford Road (the A4101), High Street (the A4101) and Pensnett Road, Dudley.
- The surrounding area is a mixture of uses comprising parking areas for the Russells Hall hospital, residential housing and industry.
- 3. There are 4 existing circular directional signs, 4 chevron rectangular signs and 2 advert signs on the island.

PROPOSAL

4. This is an application under the advertisement regulations for the provision of 4 roundabout sponsorship signs, including the replacement of 2 existing signs, measuring 914mm by 458mm mounted on two black posts with a total height of 800mm. Each sign would be set in at least 1m from the edge of the carriageway.

- 5. The proposed signs would allow for the provision of a sponsor's name, a company logo, a short message and contact details (i.e. website, telephone number, address). The signs would also includes a strip stating "*In Partnership with Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council*"
- 6. The signs would be located opposite the roundabout entrances from Kingswinford Road, High Street, the hospital access road and Pensnett Road.
- 7. The applicant has provided a supporting statement with the application which states they work with 100 local authorities with roundabout sponsorship throughout the country.
- 8. The applicant states that it has worked with the Council since 2005 when the signs were first approved at a number of limited sites thought out the borough.

HISTORY

9.

APPLICATION	PROPOSAL	DECISION	DATE
No.			
P05/1271	Display 4 No non illuminated	Refused	27/07/05
	signs on highway island		
P05/1783	Display 3 No non illuminated	Part	08/11/05
	signs on highway island	approved	
		and part	
		refused	

10. The application P05/1271 was refused for the following reason:

The proposed advertisements do not comply with Planning Policy Guidance Note 19 or Planning Guidance Note 11 'Advertisement Display Guide' by reason of the proliferation of signs proposed and the resultant harm to the visual amenity of this prominent feature in the street scene due to the visual clutter and intrusion.

11. Whilst 2 signs were approved, one sign for P05/1783 was refused for the following reason:

The proposed sign to be located opposite the approach from Russell's Hall Hospital to the north by reason of its siting and design would result in the visual clutter of signage and the unnecessary duplication of advertising material to the detriment of this prominent feature in the street scene contrary to Planning Policy Guidance Note 19 'Outdoor Advertisement Control', Policy DD14 'Advertisement Control' of the Dudley Unitary Development Plan 2005, and Supplementary Planning Guidance Note 11 'Advertisement Display Guide'.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

12. None required.

OTHER CONSULTATION

13. <u>Group Engineer (Highways</u>): Concerns are raised, in that the roundabout has a higher than average accident rate, although recognise there is no proven link between modest advertising signage and accidents.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICY

- <u>National Planning Guidance (2012)</u>
 National Planning Policy Framework
 -Paragraph 67
- <u>Unitary Development Plan (2005) (Saved Policies)</u>
 DD14 Advertisement Control

Supplementary Planning Guidance/Documents

PGN 11. Advertisement display guide

ASSESSMENT

- 14. The main issues are
 - Policy
 - Amenity
 - Public Safety

Policy

- 15. NPPF paragraph 67 states, "Poorly placed advertisements can have a negative impact on the appearance of the built and natural environment. Control over outdoor advertisements should be efficient, effective and simple in concept and operation. Only those advertisements which will clearly have an appreciable impact on a building or on their surroundings should be subject to the local planning authority's detailed assessment. Advertisements should be subject to control only in the interests of amenity and public safety, taking account of cumulative impacts".
- 16. Saved Policy DD14 of the Dudley Unitary Development Plan states that: "The Council will resist the erection of any advertisement which is substantially detrimental to the appearance of the building or on land which it is displayed, or to visual amenity of the surrounding area, or is prejudicial to public safety. "The Council will also require that any advertisement has regard to the safe use and operation of any form of transport".

<u>Amenity</u>

17. As set out in the planning history there have been 2 previous applications for adverts on the island. The most recent application allowed 2 adverts and refused a third.

- 18. When these applications were considered back in 2005, roundabout sponsorship was a comparatively new initiative and was fairly uncommon.
- 19. In the last 8 years sponsorship signs have become recognised pieces of street furniture at many roundabouts and similar road junctions in urban areas around the country. In addition their modest size, 914mm by 458mm, compared to other signage associated with road junctions and the comparatively large size of the roundabout in question means any impact that they have would be limited.
- 20. Therefore on this basis it is not considered that the previous refusal or part refusal of such signage could be reasonably defended on amenity grounds, particularly as the application site is not the subject of any statutory designations.

Public Safety

- 21. The proposed signs are located at a critical section of the junction where they could potentially distract driver's attention at a point where they need to take exceptional care.
- 22. The Group Engineer (Highways) maintain that from a public safety point of view that whilst there is no proven evidence to support that the proposed advertising signs cause accidents at traffic roundabouts the highway authority suggest ongoing concerns in relation to road accidents and in particular for vulnerable road users.
- 23. The recorded accident history at this junction is higher than the accepted accident rate.
- 24. In the interests of public safety the Group Engineer (Highways) would wish to raise safety concerns regarding this application.

CONCLUSION

25. The proposed signage is not considered to cause any significant harm to amenity in that such sponsorship signs have become regular and frequent features of traffic roundabouts. In addition the modest size of the signs and there limited number on the roundabout would not result in any significant harm and whilst there are concerns from a highway safety point of view it is not proven that the signs would have a detrimental impact on highway safety. Consideration has been given to Saved Policy DD14 Advertisement Control of the Dudley Unitary Development Plan.

RECOMMENDATION

26. It is recommended that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:

Notes to Applicant

In dealing with this application the Local Planning Authority have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner, seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the application, by seeking to help the applicant resolve technical detail issues where required and maintaining the delivery of sustainable development. The development would improve the economic, social and environmental concerns of the area and thereby being in accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Informative

In order to avoid any visibility obstruction it is imperative that the correct angle and final position of the approved signage is confirmed prior to its final completion on site. Please therefore contact the Highways Authority to ensure that the details are submitted and agreed.

Conditions and/or reasons:


- 1. No advertisement is to be displayed without the permission of the owner of the site or any other person with an interest in the site entitled to grant permission.
- 2. No advertisement shall be sited or displayed so as to:

a) Endanger persons using any highway, railway, waterway, dock, harbour or aerodrome (civil or military);

b) Obscure or hinder the ready interpretation of any traffic sign, railway signal or aid to navigation by water or air; or

c) Hinder the operation of any device used for the purpose of security or surveillance or for measuring the speed of any vehicle.

- 3. Any advertisement displayed and any site used for the display of advertisements, shall be maintained in a condition that does not impair the visual amenity of the site.
- 4. Any structure or hoarding erected or used principally for the purpose of displaying advertisements shall be maintained in a condition that does not endanger the public.
- 5. Where an advertisement is required to be removed under the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007, the site shall be left in a condition that does not endanger the public or impair visual amenity.
- 6. This consent shall remain valid for a period of five years from the date hereof.
- 7. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: Site Location Plan, Appendix 1 and Appendix 2.




Dudley MBC - Roundabout Sponsorship Development Proposal

APPENDIX 2

Site 11 – Pensnett Road/Kingswinford Road

Existing Site – propose increasing number of signs from 2 to 4



Total 4 signs:-

- 1 sign at entrance A4101 Pensnett Road consent granted
- 1 sign at entrance Kingswinford Road consent granted
- 1 sign at entrance to Hospital
- 1 sign at entrance Pensnett Road

