

Audit plan

Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council

Audit 2011/12



Contents

- Introduction.....3
- Accounting statements and Whole of Government Accounts.....4
- Value for money.....9
- Key milestones and deadlines11
- The audit team12
- Independence and quality13
- Fees15
- Appendix 1 – Independence and objectivity17
- Appendix 2 – Basis for fee.....19
- Appendix 3 – Glossary20

Introduction

This plan sets out the work for the 2011/12 audit. The plan is based on the Audit Commission's risk-based approach to audit planning.

Responsibilities

The Audit Commission's Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies sets out the respective responsibilities of the auditor and the audited body. The Audit Commission has issued a copy of the Statement to you.

The Statement summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body begin and end and I undertake my audit work to meet these responsibilities.

I comply with the statutory requirements governing my audit work, in particular:

- the Audit Commission Act 1998; and
- the Code of Audit Practice for local government bodies.

My audit does not relieve management or the Audit Committee, as those charged with governance, of their responsibilities.

Accounting statements and Whole of Government Accounts

I will carry out the audit of the accounting statements in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB). I am required to issue an audit report giving my opinion on whether the accounts give a true and fair view.

Materiality

I will apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing my audit, in evaluating the effect of any identified misstatements, and in forming my opinion.

Identifying audit risks

I need to understand the Council to identify any risk of material misstatement (whether due to fraud or error) in the accounting statements. I do this by:

- identifying the business risks facing the Council, including assessing your own risk management arrangements;
- considering the financial performance of the Council;
- assessing internal control, including reviewing the control environment, the IT control environment and internal audit; and
- assessing the risk of material misstatement arising from the activities and controls within the Council's information systems.

Identification of key audit risks

I have considered the additional risks that are relevant to the audit of the accounting statements and have set these out overleaf.

Table 1: **Key audit risks**

Risk	Audit response
<p>Significant risks</p>	
<p>HRA reform</p> <p>The government plans to reform local authority housing finance by adopting a self-financing model from 1 April 2012. This will be through a one-off settlement payment to central government on or before 28 March 2012. This will increase the Council's debt by £335m. Due to the complexity, magnitude and timing of the HRA reform there is risk that the financial statements will be materially misstated.</p>	<p>Review of management oversight of HRA reforms and transactions required by the Council.</p> <p>Tests of detail on the settlement payment</p>
<p>Unequal pay</p> <p>The Council has made provision for outstanding settlements with current and former employees in respect of actual or potential claims under equal pay legislation. The process for settling these claims is underway and so the amounts involved and the payment timescale remain uncertain. Due to the magnitude and complexity of this issue there is a risk that the financial statements will be materially misstated.</p>	<p>I will review the controls you have over establishing an estimate for the unequal pay provision</p> <p>Tests of detail on the unequal pay provision</p>
<p>Termination benefits</p> <p>The Council is continuing to make a large number of redundancies in 2011/12. The Code requires disclosure of termination benefits where the nature and amount of the expense is material. Due to the sensitivity and complexity of this issue there is a risk that the financial statements will be materially misstated</p>	<p>I will review the controls you have over paying termination benefits</p> <p>Tests of details on termination benefits</p>

Risk

Heritage Assets

The 2011/12 Code adopts the requirements of FRS 30 Heritage Assets. There is a risk that the Authority may be unable to identify and account for all heritage assets and accurately distinguish between 'heritage assets' and 'community assets'. A heritage asset is a tangible asset with historical, artistic, scientific, technological, geophysical or environmental qualities that is held and maintained principally for its contribution to knowledge and culture. For the Council this is likely to include the collections of assets and artefacts either exhibited or stored in the Dudley Museum and Art Gallery, the glass collections at the Redhouse Cone and Broadfield House, and the archive material, currently held in Coseley.

Valuation of property, plant and equipment (PPE)

The Council is required to value PPE at fair value (with some exceptions). The valuation is usually an estimate and as such, the figures are inherently subjective. Moreover the monetary values presented under these line items can mean that even relatively modest changes in the assumptions and variables informing the valuation can have a material impact on the financial statements. Therefore, there is a risk that the valuation reported in the financial statements may be materially misstated

Academy schools

A number of the borough's schools have become academies during 2011/12. There may be a risk of material misstatement arising from incorrect accounting treatment of income and expenditure transactions in respect of these schools.

Audit response

I will evaluate the management controls you have in place to recognise and value heritage assets. I will also undertake testing to check that the Authority has accounted for heritage assets in accordance with FRS 30 and the Code and the financial statements are not materially misstated

I will review the controls you have over establishing estimates, including arrangements for instructing your valuer and controls over information provided to valuer.

I will perform procedures for relying on the work of the valuer.

I will perform tests of detail on valuations and associated depreciation calculations

I will review the controls you have over the accounting treatment of schools which became academies in 2011/12

I will perform tests of detail on the accounting treatment of schools which became academies in 2011/12

Risk

Audit response

Specific risks

Component accounting

The CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting (the 'Code') requires the Council to identify significant components of an asset that have a shorter life than the asset itself and to depreciate them separately. This is needed to ensure that the annual depreciation charge fully reflects the use of the asset. This requirement to componentise assets applies only to assets acquired, enhanced or revalued since 1 April 2010. Officers considered these assets and concluded that no componentisation was required for 2010/11 as the effect on depreciation was not material. I reviewed the Council's approach and was satisfied that the Council's decision not to componentise assets and depreciate them accordingly did not result in a material error in the 2010/11 financial statements. However, this issue will need to be revisited for the 2011/12 financial statements.

I will review your approach to component accounting

Testing strategy

My audit involves:

- review and re-performance of work of your internal auditors;
- reliance on the work of other auditors;
- reliance on the work of experts; and
- substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts.

I have sought to:

- maximise reliance, subject to review and re-performance, on the work of your internal auditors; and
- maximise the work that can be undertaken before you prepare your accounting statements.

The nature and timing of my proposed work is as follows.

Table 2: **Proposed work**

	Review of internal audit	Reliance on the work of other auditors	Reliance on work of experts	Substantive testing
Interim visit	General ledger Creditors Cash receipting Payroll		Pensions liabilities and assets (Mercers) and our own consulting actuary Valuation of property, plant and equipment (Council's internal valuer) and our own consulting valuer	Property, plant and equipment (confirmation of ownership and existence) Pension contributions
Final visit		Pensions assets and liabilities – auditor to West Midlands Pension Fund	Fair value of financial instruments (Arlingclose) Unequal pay provision (Harrison Clark) Valuation of residential dwellings and business properties for the purposes of setting council tax and business rates (Valuation Office Agency)	All material accounts balances and amounts Year-end feeder system reconciliations

I will agree with you a schedule of working papers required to support the entries in the accounting statements.

Whole of Government Accounts

Alongside my work on the accounting statements, I will also review and report to the National Audit Office on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of my review and the nature of my report are specified by the National Audit Office.

Value for money

I am required to reach a conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

My conclusion on the Council's arrangements is based on two criteria, specified by the Commission. These relate to the Council's arrangements for:

- securing financial resilience – focusing on whether the Council is managing its financial risks to secure a stable financial position for the foreseeable future; and
- challenging how the Council secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness – focusing on whether the Council is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets and improving productivity and efficiency.

Identification of significant risks

I have considered the risks that are relevant to my value for money conclusion. I have identified the following significant risks that I will address through my work.

Table 3: **Significant risks**

Risk	Audit response
The Council faces significant challenges arising from the impact of the economic downturn and reductions in grant funding	I will review: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ the Council's medium-term financial plan, including arrangements for HRA self-financing, and the arrangements around developing, monitoring and adapting this plan; and■ budgetary control arrangements.

Key milestones and deadlines

The Council is required to prepare the accounting statements by 30 June 2012. I aim to complete my work and issue my opinion and value for money conclusion by 30 September 2012.

Table 4: **Proposed timetable and planned outputs**

Activity	Date	Output
Opinion: controls and early substantive testing	January – May 2012	
Opinion: receipt of accounts and supporting working papers	18 June 2012	
Opinion: substantive testing	June – September 2012	
Value for money: review of medium term financial planning and budgetary control	February – March 2012	
Value for money: review of post year-end events	July – August 2012	
Present Annual Governance Report at the Audit Committee	20 September 2012	Annual Governance Report
Issue opinion and value for money conclusion	By 30 September 2012	Auditor's report
Summarise overall messages from the audit	October 2012	Annual Audit Letter

The audit team

The key members of the audit team for the 2011/12 audit are as follows.

Table 5: **Audit team**

Name	Contact details	Responsibilities
Tony Corcoran District Auditor	t-corcoran@audit-commission.gov.uk 07815 877340	Responsible for the overall delivery of the audit including quality of reports, signing the auditor's report and liaison with the Chief Executive.
Simon Turner Audit Manager	si-turner@audit-commission.gov.uk 01384 814049 / 07815 880259	Manages and coordinates the different elements of the audit work on the accounting statements and Whole of Government Accounts. Key point of contact for the Treasurer in respect of this work
Joan Hill Audit Manager	j-hill@audit-commission.gov.uk 0844 798 7568 / 07815 880695	Manages and coordinates the work on the value for money conclusion and grant certification. Key point of contact for the Treasurer in respect of this work
Pik Ling Ho Team Leader	p-lingho@audit-commission.gov.uk 01384 814049 / 07919 057727	Deputises for the Audit Managers and undertakes specific pieces of audit work

Independence and quality

Independence

I comply with the ethical standards issued by the APB and with the Commission's additional requirements for independence and objectivity as summarised in appendix 1.

I am not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the Audit Commission, the audit team or me, that I am required by auditing and ethical standards to report to you.

Quality of service

I aim to provide you with a fully satisfactory audit service. If, however, you are unable to deal with any difficulty through me and my team please contact Chris Westwood, Director – Standards & Technical, Audit Practice, Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ (c-westwood@audit-commission.gov.uk) who will look into any complaint promptly and to do what he can to resolve the position.

If you are still not satisfied you may of course take up the matter with the Audit Commission's Complaints Investigation Officer (The Audit Commission, Westward House, Lime Kiln Close, Stoke Gifford, Bristol BS34 8SR).

Fees

The fee for the audit is £296,961, as set out in my letter of 7 April 2011.

The audit fee

The Audit Commission has set a scale audit fee of £296,961 which represents a 10 per cent reduction on the audit fee for 2010/11.

The scale fee covers:

- my audit of your accounting statements and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return; and
- my work on reviewing your arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

The scale fee reflects:

- the Audit Commission's decision not to increase fees in line with inflation;
- a reduction resulting from the new approach to local VFM audit work; and
- a reduction following the one-off work associated with the first-time adoption of International Financing Reporting Standards (IFRS).

Variations from the scale fee only occur where my assessments of audit risk and complexity are significantly different from those reflected in the 2010/11 fee. I have not identified significant differences and have therefore set the fee equal to the scale fee.

Assumptions

In setting the fee, I have made the assumptions set out in appendix 2. Where these assumptions are not met, I may be required to undertake more work and therefore increase the audit fee. Where this is the case, I will discuss this first with the Treasurer and I will issue a supplement to the plan to record any revisions to the risk and the impact on the fee.

Specific actions you could take to reduce your audit fee

The Audit Commission requires me to inform you of specific actions you could take to reduce your audit fee. As in previous years, I will work with staff to identify any specific actions that the Council could take and will provide ongoing audit support.

Total fees payable

In addition to the fee for the audit, the Audit Commission will charge fees for:

- certification of claims and returns; and
- the agreed provision of non-audit services under the Audit Commission's advice and assistance powers.

Based on current plans the fees payable are as follows.

Table 6: Fees

	2011/12 proposed	2010/11 actual	Variance
Audit	£296,961	£329,957	(£32,996)
Certification of claims and returns	£90,000	£107,664	(£17,664)
Non-audit work	£0	£0	£0
Total	£386,961	£437,621	(£50,660)

Appendix 1 – Independence and objectivity

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission must comply with the Commission’s Code of Audit Practice and Standing Guidance for Auditors. When auditing the accounting statements, auditors must also comply with professional standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB). These impose stringent rules to ensure the independence and objectivity of auditors. The Audit Practice puts in place robust arrangements to ensure compliance with these requirements, overseen by the Audit Practice’s Director – Standards and Technical, who serves as the Audit Practice’s Ethics Partner.

Table 7: **Independence and objectivity**

Area	Requirement	How we comply
Business, employment and personal relationships	<p>Appointed auditors and their staff should avoid any official, professional or personal relationships which may, or could reasonably be perceived to, cause them inappropriately or unjustifiably to limit the scope, extent or rigour of their work or impair the objectivity of their judgement.</p> <p>The appointed auditor and senior members of the audit team must not take part in political activity for a political party, or special interest group, whose activities relate directly to the functions of local government or NHS bodies in general, or to a particular local government or NHS body.</p>	<p>All audit staff are required to declare all potential threats to independence. Details of declarations are made available to appointed auditors. Where appropriate, staff are excluded from engagements or safeguards put in place to reduce the threat to independence to an acceptably low level.</p>

Area	Requirement	How we comply
Long association with audit clients	The appointed auditor responsible for the audit should, in all but the most exceptional circumstances, be changed at least once every seven years, with additional consideration of threats to independence after five years.	The Audit Practice maintains and monitors a central database of assignment of auditors and senior audit staff to ensure this requirement is met.
Gifts and hospitality	The appointed auditor and members of the audit team must abide by the Commission's policy on gifts, hospitality and entertainment.	All audit staff are required to declare any gifts or hospitality irrespective of whether or not they are accepted. Gifts and Hospitality may only be accepted with line manager approval.
Non-audit work	<p>Appointed auditors should not perform additional work for an audited body (that is work above the minimum required to meet their statutory responsibilities) if it would compromise their independence or might result in a reasonable perception that their independence could be compromised.</p> <p>Auditors should not accept engagements that involve commenting on the performance of other auditors appointed by the Commission on Commission work without first consulting the Commission.</p> <p>Work over a specified value must only be undertaken with the prior approval of the Audit Commission's Director of Audit Policy and Regulation.</p>	All proposed additional work is subject to review and approval by the appointed auditor and the Director – Standards and Technical, to ensure that independence is not compromised.

Code of Audit Practice, Audit Commission Standing Guidance and APB Ethical Standards

Appendix 2 – Basis for fee

In setting the fee, I have assumed the following.

- The risk in relation to the audit of the accounting statements is not significantly different to that identified for 2010/11. For example:
 - internal controls are operating effectively; and
 - I secure the co-operation of other auditors.
- The risk in relation to my value for money responsibilities is not significantly different to that identified for 2010/11.
- Internal Audit meets professional standards.
- Internal Audit undertakes sufficient appropriate work on all systems that provide material figures in the accounting on which I can rely.
- The Council provides:
 - good quality working papers and records to support the accounting statements and the text of the other information to be published with the statements by 18 June;
 - other information requested within agreed timescales; and
 - prompt responses to draft reports.
- There are no questions asked or objections made by local government electors.

Where these assumptions are not met, I will have to undertake more work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee.

Appendix 3 – Glossary

Accounting statements

The annual statement of accounts that the Council is required to prepare, which report the financial performance and financial position of the Council in accordance with the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011 and the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom.

Annual Audit Letter

Report issued by the auditor to the Council after the completion of the audit that summarises the audit work carried out in the period and significant issues arising from auditors' work.

Annual Governance Report

The auditor's report on matters arising from the audit of the accounting statements presented to those charged with governance before the auditor issues their opinion and value for money conclusion.

Annual Governance Statement

The annual report on the Council's systems of internal control that supports the achievement of the Council's policies aims and objectives.

Audit of the accounts

The audit of the accounts of an audited body comprises all work carried out by an auditor under the Code to meet their statutory responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act 1998.

Audited body

A body to which the Audit Commission is responsible for appointing the external auditor.

Auditing Practices Board (APB)

The body responsible in the UK for issuing auditing standards, ethical standards and associated guidance to auditors. Its objectives are to establish high standards of auditing that meet the developing needs of users of financial information and to ensure public confidence in the auditing process.

Auditing standards

Pronouncements of the APB that contain basic principles and essential procedures with which auditors must comply, except where otherwise stated in the auditing standard concerned.

Auditor(s)

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission.

Code (the)

The Code of Audit Practice for local government bodies issued by the Audit Commission and approved by Parliament.

Commission (the)

The Audit Commission for Local Authorities and the National Health Service in England.

Ethical Standards

Pronouncements of the APB that contain basic principles relating to independence, integrity and objectivity that apply to the conduct of audits and with which auditors must comply, except where otherwise stated in the standard concerned.

Group accounts

Consolidated accounting statements of a Council and its subsidiaries, associates and jointly controlled entities.

Internal control

The whole system of controls, financial and otherwise, that the Council establishes to provide reasonable assurance of effective and efficient operations, internal financial control and compliance with laws and regulations.

Materiality

The APB defines this concept as ‘an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of the accounting statements as a whole. A matter is material if its omission would reasonably influence the decisions of an addressee of the auditor’s report; likewise a misstatement is material if it would have a similar influence. Materiality may also be considered in the context of any individual primary statement within the accounting statements or of individual items included in them. Materiality is not capable of general mathematical definition, as it has both qualitative and quantitative aspects’.

The term ‘materiality’ applies only to the accounting statements. Auditors appointed by the Commission have responsibilities and duties under statute, as well as their responsibility to give an opinion on the accounting statements, which do not necessarily affect their opinion on the accounting statements.

Significance

The concept of ‘significance’ applies to these wider responsibilities and auditors adopt a level of significance that may differ from the materiality level applied to their audit of the accounting statements. Significance has both qualitative and quantitative aspects.

Those charged with governance

Those entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of the Council. This term includes the members of the Council and its Audit Committee.

Whole of Government Accounts

A project leading to a set of consolidated accounts for the entire UK public sector on commercial accounting principles. The Council must submit a consolidation pack to the department for Communities and Local Government which is based on, but separate from, its accounting statements.

If you require a copy of this document in an alternative format or in a language other than English, please call:
0844 798 7070

© Audit Commission 2012.

Design and production by the Audit Commission Publishing Team.

Image copyright © Audit Commission.

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors, members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.

