PLANNING APPLICATION NUMBER: P15/0383

Type of approval sought		Tree Preservation Order			
Ward		Belle Vale			
Applicant		Mr Steve Walker, Midland Forestry Limited			
Location:	VACANT LAND (FORMER CLUB), BUNDLE HILL, HALESO				
Proposal		PER BEECH; FELL 1 BLACK LOCUST; FELL 1 AND FELL 1 CORSICAN PINE			
Recommendation Summary:	APPROVE SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS				

Application No.		P15/03	83				
Location:		INDLE HILL, Sumn			psal Fell 1 Copper Beech; Fell 1 Black Locust; Fell 1 Sycamore; Fell 1 Corsican Pine.		
Site Visit Date:	08/04/2015		Notice blayed?	N		Site Notice Expiry Date:	NI/A
Tree Preserv Number / Yea			TPO/126 (198	82) – <i>P</i>	\2 / TP(D/116 ((1981) – G1
Key Site and Surrounding (bullet points	application site of the Legion The site number various site, with tree in the tree from various area. The TF	 The trees subject to this application are situated on the site of the former Royal British Legion Club in Bundle Hill. The site has a significant number of trees present in various groups though out the site, with notable a large beet ree in the centre of the site. The trees prominently visible from various vantages in the area. The TPOs on the site are comprised of a number of 				ation	P13/0399 – Pollard one Poplar to 10 metres – Approved with conditions

Public Consultation Responses?	smaller area orders, a group order and a number of individually protected trees. The whole site is not covered by a blanket order. Yes	If Yes, how many? (Support, Objection, Comment	Suppor t	Objection 6	Comme nt
)			
	Key issues raised:	•		o commen	
application has l permission for fe	•	Given the loproposed to application proposed what land for the The trees sare all around often in are for develop. The agent from the land safety assessment 2013 follow large Popla wooded see inspection than the probe consiste works.	be felled it is not vorks wo benefit ubject to not the east that I ment. For the a hat the pat were reasons at that working the pat incidence on the concepts of the concepts were reasons of the concepts with the concepts of the concepts were reasons of the concepts with the concepts were reasons of the concepts were reasons were rea	ed under the considered under the considered of developed the application of the condition as undertal partial failuathe northe the site. Of dition of the corks were	his d that the p" any oment. cation he site, cotential has works are for health tion ken in are of a ern net trees of found to
		A number of the potential development the site is linear future, impact on the planning appropriate only issued the only issue are those the proposed treated and the propo	al impac nt. Whils kely to c , issues he deve conside pplication nt. Unde ues that nat relat	ts of any fust development of relating to lopment of red as parent of the er this appletican be contactly to the er directly to the erectly the erectly the erectly to the erectly the ere	urther ment of and in the the the site tof the ication on sidered to the

					impact on the	ne amenity of the	e area	
The removal on the wild life			ave an adverse impa e site.	act	The site is undoubtedly one of high value for wildlife in the area and will host a large number of species.			
				The works subject to the application will require the removal of four trees from the site which has an estimated 150 trees present. As such no detrimental impact on the site is foreseen.				
			ink above High			ks are proposed		
	•		the bank. Any remo	val		adjacent to the H	•	
of these trees	could res	sult II	n soil erosion.			As such there a		
						relation to the tallity of the bank.	rees impact	
Removal of th	e trees or	າ the	e bank above High			rks are proposed	in relation	
			rlooking issues with	any				
future develor			o			As such there a	_	
					concerns in relation to potential			
					overlooking			
			e no objections to th			orks proposed under this		
under taken	ng but asi	keu i	if further works coul	u be	be application can be considered. The Council has no powers to grant			
ariaer takeri					permission for additional works that			
					l.	en proposed.		
Tree(s) Apprais	sal – Tree :	1						
Species:	Pine		Height (m):	5		Spread (m):	9	
DBH (mm):	250		Age Class:	Earl	y Mature	Overall Health:	Poor	
	No		mments on			ppressed by adj		
Concerns?		stru	ucture:			s. The tree has v		
					ve foliage and appears to be in terminal lecline. It is considered that the tree will			
					within the ne		tiee wiii	
Light	No	Ph	/sical damage:			Surface	None	
obstruction :	140	,	, siour damage.				Evident	
Amenity Asses	sment							
Visible: Only as a minor part of wider group Prominence?				Low		Characteristic of the Area?	Yes	
	Overall Amenity Value? Low (High, Medium, Low, None):							
Tree(s) Apprais	sal – Tree 2	2						

			1.1.		1.0		
Species:	Copper Beech	Hei	Height (m):		10	Spread (m):	9
DBH (mm):	300	Age	Class:		Early Mature	Overall Health:	Poor
Structural Concerns?		Comments on structure:		Some deadwood in canopy; Kretzchmaria deusta decay fungi present at base with associated decay; Failure likely in future			
Light obstruction :	No	Phy	ysical damage:		None Evident	Surface disruption:	None Evident
Amenity Asses	ssment						
Visible:	Yes	Pro	minence?		Moderate	Characteristic of the Area?	Yes
Overall Ame (High, Mediu None):	ım, Low,		Moderate				
Tree(s) Apprai			T	1		T_	1
•	Robinia (Bl ₋ocust)	ack	Height (m):	(m): 13		Spread (m):	7
DBH (mm):	450		Age Class:	Mature		Overall Health:	Poor
Structural Concerns?			Tree appears to be deadwood present There is significant Failure will become near future		in the crown. t decay present in	n the base.	
Light obstruction :	Yes	Phy			one Evident	Surface disruption:	None Evident
Amenity Asses	ssment						•
Visible:	Yes	Pro	minence?		Moderate	Characteristic of the Area?	Yes
Overall Ame (High, Mediu None):		?	Moderate				
Tree(s) Apprai	isal – Tree 4	ļ _					
Species:	Sycamore	Не	eight (m):		9	Spread (m):	7
DBH (mm):	400		ge Class:		Early Mature	Overall Good / Health: Modera	
Structural Concerns?	Yes		omments on ructure:		Tree has included a significant section of the adjacent chain link fence. Continued growth is likely to cause further damage to this and the more substantial fence behind the tree.		

			Its removal is recommended in order to prevent further damage to both fences.			
Light obstruction :	No	Physical damage:	Yes to fences	Surface disruption:	None Evident	
Amenity Asse	ssment					
Visible:	Yes	Prominence?	Low	Characteristic of the Area?	Yes	
Overall Ame (High, Mediu None):		? Low,				

Further assessment if not covered:

The applicant has proposed the works as part of the ongoing management of the trees on the site. The works were all identified as required in a tree condition survey that was undertaken in 2013 following the partial failure of a large Poplar in the northern wooded section of the sites.

The application originally included proposals to fell a number of other trees on the site. However, these trees were either found to be dead, or were not subject to the preservation orders on the site. As such permission is not required in order to fell these trees and they have not been given consideration on the report. Having briefly assessed these other trees it is considered that had permission been required, it would likely have been forthcoming.

On inspection the Pine tree (Tree 1), the Beech tree (Tree 2) and Robinia tree (Tree 3) were all found to be in a poor condition, with various defects present.

It is considered that the Beech tree and the Robinia tree, if retained, will become liable to failure in the near future and given their location adjacent to the highway, it is considered that their removal is justified.

The Pine tree whilst not currently at risk of failure is likely to die in the near future and as such it is not considered that there can be any reasonable objection to its removal. There will be no detrimental impact on the amenity of the area as the result of the removal of the tree.

The Sycamore tree is a poor specimen that is causing damage to the adjacent chain link fence and is likely to cause further damage to the rigid metal fence of the adjacent school behind if left in place. The tree is of limited value and it is not considered that there can be any reasonable objection to its removal. There will be no significant impact on the amenity of the area as the result of the removal of this tree.

Given the limited impact on the amenity of the area; the large number of trees on the site and the likely difficulties in establishing newly planted trees underneath the larger trees on site, it is not considered that replacement trees are required in this instance.

Overall it is considered that the proposed works are all reasonable given the condition of the trees. As such it is not considered that there can be any reasonable objection to the

proposed works	. Therefore, it	t is recomn	nended that t	he applica	ation s	hould be approved.	
Conclusion:							
Following consider reasonable objection recommended to	ction to the p	roposed w	orks due to th			ere can be any he trees. As such it is	
Recommendati n:	• Approve S	Approve Subject to Conditions					
Amended Wor	k						
Specification ²	?						
Condition(s) or	Amended Works	Reidan		Replacement 5 D Planting Not		Pre-commencement Meeting	
Reason for Refusal:	Other:						
Informatives:	2 years	2 years Nature Co				<u>Ownership</u>	
iniormatives.	Other:						
Case Officer:	James Dunn		Date Repo	of Final ort:	01/0	5/15	
Vetted by:	Carl Mellor		Date Vetti		01/0	5/15	

Conditions and/or reasons:

1. The tree works subject of this consent shall be carried out in accordance with British Standard BS 3998:2010 `Recommendations for Treework'.

