MEETING OF THE CABINET - 9th February 2005

JOINT REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR OF FINANCE

CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORING & CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2005/06

1.0 Purpose

- **1.1.** To report progress with the implementation of the Capital Programme.
- **1.2.** To propose the allocation of available capital resources for 2005/06, and certain other amendments to the Council's Capital Programme.
- **1.3.** To propose the "Prudential Indicators" as required to be determined by the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities and the Local Government Act 2003.

2.0 Background

2.1. The table below summarises the current 3 year Capital Programme updated where appropriate to reflect latest scheme spending profiles.

| Service | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Housing | 27201 | 22977 | 22641 |
| Urban Environment | 24102 | 28234 | 27934 |
| Social Services | 1242 | 60 | 0 |
| Education & Lifelong Learning | 16828 | 26593 | 32 |
| Finance, ICT & Procurement | 3434 | 1739 | 583 |
| Law & Property | 3432 | 0 | 0 |
| Chief Executive's | 2943 | 667 | 14 |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | 79182 | 80270 | 51204 |

Note that certain services have not yet had a capital programme agreed for 2005/06 and 2006/07, largely due to uncertainty with regard to likely resource levels. Hence the "zeros" and other relatively small figures appearing in the above table for those years. This report will address in particular the allocation of currently available resources for 2005/06.

In accordance with the requirements of the Financial Management Regime (FMR), details of progress with the 2004/05 Programme are given in Appendix A. It is proposed that the current position be noted.

2.2. Available Resources for 2005/06

- 2.2.1 The Local Government Act 2003 introduced a new system of "prudential borrowing" from 1st April 2004 which allows councils to set their own borrowing limits subject to criteria of prudence, affordability, and sustainability.
- 2.2.2 The Government continues to support capital expenditure by authorities in the form of direct capital grants and "Supported Capital Expenditure" (SCE) approvals which generate support for the majority of the ensuing debt charges via the Revenue Support Grant (RSG) system. There is no support for any extra borrowing made possible by the "prudential" system.
- 2.2.3 For 2005/06, the majority of SCE approvals have been allocated as part of the Single Capital Pot (SCP) mechanism. The Council's Single Capital Pot SCE for 2005/06 has been calculated as follows.

.....

| | £'000 |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Transport Housing Education Social Services | 5815 3212 3150 212 |
| | |

Total Single Capital Pot SCE 12389

2.3 Overall Allocation of Resources

- 2.3.1 In theory, it would be possible to treat the overall SCE as a corporate resource in the true spirit of the Single Capital Pot, and devise a methodology for prioritising all capital "bids" against the resources available. In reality however, this would pose major problems, not least the difficulty in devising a prioritisation methodology which could fairly compare and score bids for schemes of all magnitudes and degrees of complexity across the whole range of Council services. In addition, it would probably be seen as unacceptable, both internally and from the viewpoint of Government departments, not to spend capital resources for the purposes they were notionally allocated.
- 2.3.2 Therefore, it is proposed that each service SCE be earmarked to the relevant service, but with a particular emphasis on demonstrating appropriate prioritisation *within* service programmes, and *within* spend headings. As stated in the Financial Management Regime, it is the responsibility of the relevant Directors to make sure that such prioritisation is robust and stands up to scrutiny. Prioritisation should include formal scoring mechanisms or other objective methods wherever possible and appropriate.
- 2.3.3 It is also proposed that, as in the past, Housing capital receipts should be earmarked to be spent on Housing capital schemes.

2.4 Transport

- 2.4.1 The Transport SCE of £5.815m comprises £2.215m for Structural Maintenance of Roads and Bridges and £3.600m for Integrated Transport schemes.
- 2.4.2 Resources for Integrated Transport will, as in previous years, be pooled on a countywide basis and reallocated by the West Midlands Districts Joint Committee to each Borough on the basis of agreed priorities. The resources for Structural Maintenance of Roads and Bridges will be allocated to the Council's own priority schemes.
- 2.4.3 Proposals for the detailed allocation of the above resources are being reported elsewhere on this agenda.

2.5 Housing

- 2.5.1 In addition to the Housing SCE, further capital resources will be available to Housing, including the Major Repairs Allowance (MRA), and Disabled Facilities Grant support, together with the usable proportion of any capital receipts generated. Proposals for the Public Sector Housing Investment Programme for 2005/06 are set out in a report on issues relating to the management of the HRA in general, elsewhere on this agenda.
- 2.5.2 As far as the Private Sector Housing Investment Programme is concerned, the current Capital Programme already provides for an injection of an extra £3.3 million over the three years 2004/05 -2006/07 (compared to previous budgets) to enable waiting times for Disabled Facilities Grants to be substantially reduced.

It is proposed that the following budgets for 2005/06 be confirmed:

- £2.066m for Disabled Facilities Grants (DFGs), funding around 170 grants depending on the nature and cost of the works involved.
- £1.1m for Renovation Grants in line with previous years' budgets, enabling further progress to be made in dealing with unfitness in the private sector, funding around 140 grants depending on the nature and cost of the works involved.

2.6 Education

- 2.6.1 The Education Single Capital Pot SCE of £3.150m provisionally announced last year has been confirmed, and comprises:
 - £2.113m as a "Basic Need" allocation for new pupil places. This will be allocated to specific projects following appropriate consultation and evaluation of options.
 - £0.446m to fund commitments arising from previous Basic Need allocations.
 - £0.591m for Schools Access Initiative schemes. This funding will be used to meet the needs of individual pupils as they are identified during the year.

2.6.2 The following grant funding will also be available for Education capital investment in 2005/06.

| | £000 |
|---|------|
| Devolved Capital | 3966 |
| Modernisation Funding - All Schools | 1474 |
| Modernisation Funding - Primary Schools | 1132 |
| Total | 6572 |

In addition, £1.693m has been provided over the three years 2004/05-2006/07 in the form of "Targeted Capital Funding" for a new Science & Music Block at Ridgewood High School.

- 2.6.3 It is anticipated that subject to provision to the DfES of satisfactory Suitability data by the end of March, a further £2m of Modernisation Funding will also be available (as reported to the July meeting of the Executive).The Modernisation allocations will be allocated to specific projects on the basis of Asset Management Plan (AMP) priorities; Schools will be expected to identify match-funding from their Devolved allocations where appropriate
- 2.6.4 Provisional indications of support in 2006/07 and 2007/08 have also been announced as follows.

| | 2006/07 £000 | 2007/08 £000 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Devolved Capital | 4973 | 5229 |
| Modernisation Funding - Secondary Schools | 1271 | 1311 |
| Modernisation Funding - Primary Schools | 1374 | 1374 |
| Basic Need - New Pupil Places | 1078 | 1095 |
| Access Initiative | 585 | 585 |
| Total | 9281 | 9594 |

2.6.5 It is proposed that the above resources, and related schemes be included in the Capital Programme as appropriate.

2.7 Social Services

2.7.1 Proposals for the allocation of the available SCE of £212,000 as part of a prioritised three-year Capital Programme will be reported in due course.

2.8 Other Services

2.8.1 As in the current year, the only resources available for capital investment in Other Services - other than specific grants or specific SCE approvals - will be those generated from disposal of surplus assets.

2.8.2 Proposals for the allocation of available resources will be reported in due course.

2.9 Prudential Borrowing

- 2.9.1 As explained in the Revenue Budget report elsewhere on this agenda, in the context of the medium term position, a review has been undertaken of the opportunity to maximise the flexibilities now available under the "prudential borrowing" regime. Historically the Council has funded approximately £5m of structural improvements to roads and buildings, directly from revenue. In order to free-up revenue resources to fund priorities and increased legislative demands, it is being proposed that £2.5m of that spend should now be financed by borrowing.
- 2.9.2 Also, one of the specific growth proposals within the Revenue Budget strategy is to provide £0.140m to meet the annual debt charges on borrowing to fund capital expenditure of £1.4m on the replacement of dangerous Street Lighting Columns. Necessary work consists of complete replacement of the lighting column or, in the case of most concrete street lights, removal of the element that gives rise to the most concern (the bracket and top of shaft) and its replacement with a steel unit that will greatly extend its life. This is the first stage of the overall programme of work that will be required to eliminate the problem, installing new units that will have a design life of more than forty years and will also greatly improve the light output of the installation by using up-to-date equipment. This will also have benefits in reducing crime and antisocial behaviour as has been demonstrated in previous smaller replacement schemes.
- 2.9.3 It is proposed that subject to this element of the Revenue Budget Strategy being agreed, the expenditure on Street Lighting Columns be included in the Capital Programme.
- 2.9.4 Proposals to use Prudential Borrowing within the Public Sector Housing Investment Programme for 2005/06 are set out in the aforementioned report, elsewhere on this agenda.

2.10 Asset Management Plan (AMP)

2.10.1 The allocations proposed above do not directly address issues arising from the Corporate AMP, and Condition Survey. However, the allocation of Structural Repair and Maintenance resources within the Capital Programme (funded from Law & Property revenue budgets) will reflect the priorities arising from the Survey.

2.11 Other Capital Programme Amendments

Urban Environment

2.11.1 Seven Sisters Mine Infilling

The current phase of works at Seven Sisters is substantially complete. However, this project has proved to be particularly difficult to manage especially when considering the need to carry out essential public safety works whilst protecting a nationally unique environmental and heritage site.

Outturn costs are estimated to be $\pounds4.265m$. This is $\pounds255,000$ in excess of the current funding approval ($\pounds4.01m$) from English Partnerships and represents a 6% increase in costs. Of the additional cost, $\pounds179,000$ relates to additional time needed to complete various phases of the works. The remaining $\pounds76,000$ relates to consultants fees and officers costs incurred in preparing essential reports and surveys to support future funding opportunities for the Wrens Nest & Castle Hill area.

Discussions are ongoing with English Partnerships to recover the additional costs. At this stage it is unclear whether the £76,000 covering consultants / officers costs will be eligible for English Partnership funding. However, efforts are continuing to investigate other external funding opportunities for the next phase, i.e. full stabilisation of the Seven Sisters Upper Gallery as part of a much wider tourism / regeneration scheme for the Wrens Nest / Castle Hill area. The £76,000 could then be recovered from the design fee element of such funding; otherwise it will need to be funded from the Directorate's own resources.

It is proposed that the Capital Programme be amended accordingly.

2.11.2 Ryemarket Car Park Cladding

The Ryemarket multi-storey car park was originally clad with rectangular and hexagonal glass reinforced cement (GRC) panels. A problem with the failure of the hexagonal panels led to them being removed in 1999.

Recent inspections have identified continued deterioration of the remaining rectangular panels such that it is now considered prudent to remove them all at an estimated cost of £95,000. This can be funded from resources earmarked for Car Park Improvements.

It is proposed that the scheme be approved and included in the Capital Programme.

Law & Property

2.11.3 Priory Hall Security

The Hall has been subjected to repeated and escalating acts of vandalism, criminal damage, theft and arson over the years - leading to the Council's insurers now stipulating that unless security measures are implemented by August 2005 they will not be able to continue cover, or will be imposing onerous terms.

It is therefore necessary to erect acceptable fencing, in keeping with the architectural design of the building, together with tasteful complimentary security lighting to establish a secure and defensible zone around the hall to address these security issues which are currently threatening its existence.

There has been considerable expenditure over the last 3 years - amounting to $\pounds455,000$ - in reaction to episodes of vandalism and arson. By erecting a secure and defensible zone around the Hall it is hoped that this will decrease or hopefully stop the

attacks and subsequently reduce or eliminate the need for additional revenue or capital expenditure on an annual basis.

Estimated costs are in the region of £210,000, of which £50,000 can be found from the Council's Risk Management resources. It is proposed that the balance of £160,000 be made a first call on resources generated by the disposal of surplus assets in 2005/06, and that the scheme be included in the Capital Programme.

Education & Lifelong Learning

2.11.4 Redhill School - All Weather Football Pitch & Changing Rooms

The school is currently developing a project to create an all weather football pitch & changing rooms facility. As well as being utilised for school sport and PE, the project will in particular help create better sports opportunities for ethnic minority communities & girls'/women's football teams, and will enhance links with local and professional clubs.

The estimated cost of the project is £1,129,000 of which £330,000 can be found from Delegated Capital and Asset Management Plan (AMP) allocations. Discussions are under way with the Football Association (FA) with a view to making a bid to the Football Foundation for a grant for the balance of £799,000.

It is proposed that the making of such a bid be authorised, and that subject to the bid being successful, the project be approved and included in the Capital Programme.

2.11.5 The Coseley School - All Weather Football Pitch

The school is currently developing a project to create an all weather football pitch at an estimated cost of £700,000. The pitch will be suitable for football and rugby. It will in particular create new opportunities for girls'/women's football as well as being a centre for education in football, and an inclusive sports and football facility for the benefit of the whole community.

The majority of funding is anticipated to be forthcoming from a bid to the Football Foundation, with the balance to be found from the School's own resources, and if necessary a contribution from AMP allocations.

It is proposed that the making of such a bid be authorised, and that subject to the bid being successful and resources being available to meet the total cost, the project be approved and included in the Capital Programme.

Finance, ICT and Procurement

2.11.6 Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN)

The existing ISDN data network which provides a service to Council offices has become obsolete and needs to be replaced with a new system based on Broadband technology. The expected cost of replacement is £164,000 which will be funded form ICT Strategy reserves and revenue budgets over the next two years.

It is proposed that this project be approved and included in the Capital Programme.

2.11.7 Benefits & Customer Access to Services (CATS) Telephony

The installation of a new telephony system would provide specific Call Centre technology, initially for use within Revenue Services, the Benefits Division and CATS. There would in the future be opportunities to roll out this facility to other Council Services. The expected cost of the system is around £260,000, of which £100,000 has been secured from Government grant funding; the balance of £160,000 will be found from Benefits Division and CATS resources.

It is proposed that this project be approved and included in the Capital Programme.

2.12 Urgent Amendments to the Capital Programme

2.12.1 Air Quality Management - Purchase of Particulate Monitor

Dudley Council has a duty under the provisions of the Environment Act 1995 to monitor air quality in the Dudley Borough and to declare Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) where air quality does not achieve objectives specified in government guidance.

Currently the Council has declared an AQMA and has had an Air Quality Action Plan approved by the Department for the Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) for Brierley Hill. It is proposed to declare an AQMA for the centre of Sedgley early in 2005, and further areas may be declared over the next 12-18 months.

Each year in February DEFRA invites bids from Councils to support capital expenditure during the following financial year in relation to their statutory duties in relation to air quality management - including the purchase of air quality monitoring equipment. Preference is given to those Councils that have designated or will be designating AQMAs and undertaking further assessments and implementing air quality action plans.

Whilst available resources are normally allocated early in the bidding round, DEFRA has recently informed the Council that there are resources still available in 2004/05. It was therefore proposed to submit an application to DEFRA for £25,000 to purchase a PM10 particulate monitor. The cost of maintenance of the equipment can be met from existing budgets. A condition of the funding would be that it must be fully spent by 31st March 2005.

In order to comply with the necessary timescales an urgent decision (ref. DUE/11/2005) was made by the Leader of the Council in consultation with the Director of Finance on 24th January:

- to approve the submission of an application to DEFRA for supported borrowing of £25,000 to purchase a particulate monitor to support the air quality monitoring programme in Dudley;

- to authorise the Director of the Urban Environment to accept any approval for supported borrowing and to include the approval in the Capital Programme.

2.13 Post Completion Review of Capital Projects

2.13.1 The Post completion Reviews required by Contract standing orders have now been undertaken for the following schemes, with a copy of the proformas summarising the reviews attached at Appendix B.

Highways:

Resurfacing of Laurel Road, Dudley Resurfacing of Middlepark Road, Dudley Resurfacing of Stallings Lane, Kingswinford Resurfacing of Kingsway, Wollaston

Housing:

External Insulation Works to Orlit Houses, Sedgley Window Replacement at Chapel St., Phase 3 Installation of Gas fired Central Heating (4 Contracts) Cavity Wall Insulation Contract H282A External Insulation Works to Wates Houses, Darby End Low Rise Window Replacements 2003/04, Stourbridge / Halesowen Unity Houses External Envelope / Structural Works, Orchard St. Internal Alterations to capstan House & Forge House Re-wire of Communal Area at Chapel St. Estate, Brierley Hill Hawbush External Envelope Scheme, Phase 3 External Insulation to Wates Houses, Russells Hall

2.14 The CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities

- 2.14.1 As outlined above, the Local Government Act 2003 introduced a new system of "prudential borrowing" from 1st April 2004 which allows councils to set their own borrowing limits subject to criteria of prudence, affordability, and sustainability. The CIPFA Prudential Code sets out the indicators that authorities must use, and the factors they must take into account, to demonstrate that they have fulfilled this objective.
- 2.14.2 Details of the various indicators required, and the proposed figures to be set in relation to each indicator are set out at Appendix C.

3.0 Proposals

- 3.1. That the Cabinet:
- 3.1.1. Notes current progress with the 2004/05 Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.1 and appendix A.
- 3.1.2 Agrees to earmark each SCE to the relevant service, but with a particular emphasis on demonstrating appropriate prioritisation *within* service programmes, and *within* spend headings, as set out in paragraph 2.3.2.
- 3.1.3 Agrees to earmark Housing capital receipts to be spent on Housing capital schemes as set out in paragraph 2.3.3.

- 3.1.4 Approves the Private Sector Housing Investment Programme for 2004/05 as set out in paragraph 2.5.2.
- 3.1.5 Agrees that the Education capital resources and related schemes be included in the Capital Programme as appropriate, as set out in paragraph 2.6.5.
- 3.1.6 Agrees that subject to the relevant element of the Revenue Budget Strategy being agreed, the expenditure on Street Lighting Columns be included in the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.9.3
- 3.1.7 Agrees that the Capital Programme be amended to reflect the increased costs of the Seven Sisters Mine Infilling, as set out in paragraph 2.11.1.
- 3.1.8 Approves the scheme to remove the remaining GRC Cladding at the Ryemarket Car Park and its inclusion in the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.11.2.
- 3.1.9 Agrees that the scheme to improve security at Priory Hall be included in the Capital Programme at a cost of £210,000, being funded £50,000 from Risk Management resources, and £160,000 from resources generated by the disposal of surplus assets, as set out in paragraph 2.11.3.
- 3.1.10 Authorises the bid for grant funding for the All Weather Football Pitch and Changing Rooms at Redhill School, and subject to such a bid being successful, approves the scheme and its inclusion in the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.11.4.
- 3.1.11 Authorises the bid for grant funding for the All Weather Football Pitch at The Coseley School, and subject to such a bid being successful and resources being available to meet the total cost, approves the scheme and its inclusion in the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.11.5.
- 3.1.12 Approves the ISDN project and its inclusion in the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.11.6.
- 3.1.13 Approves the Benefits and CATS Telephony project and its inclusion in the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.11.7.
- 3.1.14 Notes the Urgent Amendment to the Capital Programme, as set out in paragraph 2.12.
- 3.1.15 Notes the results of the Post Completion Reviews of Capital Projects, as set out in paragraph 2.13 and Appendix B.
- 3.1.16 Agrees the Prudential Indicators as required to be determined by the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities and the Local Government Act 2003, as set out in paragraph 2.14 and Appendix C.

4.0 Finance

4.1 This report is financial in nature and information about the individual proposals is contained within the body of the report.

5.0 Law

5.1 The Council's budgeting process is governed by the Local Government Act 1972, the Local Government Planning and Land Act 1980, the Local Government Finance Act 1988, the Local Government and Housing Act 1989, and the Local Government Act 2003.

6.0 Equal Opportunities

6.1 These proposals comply with the Council's policy on Equal Opportunities.

7.0 Recommendation

7.1. That the proposals set out in Section 3 be approved.

8.0 Background Papers

 8.1 Various internal e-mails relating to individual schemes and proposals. Relevant resource allocation notifications.
CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities. Treasury Management Strategy 2005/06.

Andre Spirice

CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Contact Officer: John Everson - Ext. 4806

DIRECTOR OF FINANCE

| Service | Budget £'000 | Spend to 31st December £'000 | Forecast £'000 | Variance £'000 | Reasons for Variance |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Housing | 27201 | 17495 | 27201 | | |
| Urban Environment | 24102 | 13287 | 24372 | +270 | See note |
| Social Services | 1242 | 108 | 1242 | | |
| Education & Lifelong Learning | 16828 | 11622 | 16828 | | |
| Finance, ICT & Procurement | 3434 | 2158 | 3434 | | |
| Law & Property | 3432 | 1291 | 3432 | | |
| Chief Executive's | 2943 | 1088 | 2943 | | |
| TOTAL | 79182 | 47049 | 79452 | +270 |] |

2004/05 Capital Programme Progress to Date

Note: Mainly relates to extra cost of Seven Sisters Mine Infilling - see paragraph 2.11.1.

| Title of Scheme: Resurfacing of Laurel Road, Dudley | | |
|---|--|--|
| Date of Executive / Cabinet approval (As part of Highways Structural Maintenance Programme): 19 March 2003 (i.e. inclusion in Capital Programme) | | |
| Original Budget £110,248 Planned Completion date: 5 March 2004 | | |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if still provisional): £113,168 Actual completion date: 3 March 2004 | | |
| Variation from Original Budget:Increase of £2,920 Delay: None | | |
| Reason for Cost Variation and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if any variation has previously been reported to Executive / Cabinet): A variation order for £5,638 was issued to extend the area of resurfacing by 350m ² , giving a total | | |
| budget of £115,886. The final account was then within 2.5% of this revised budget. The works were completed ahead of programme. | | |
| Original Objectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these were reported): | | |
| Resurface Laurel Road to a depth of 100mm to overcome the deterioration in the pavement structure. | | |
| Have these Objectives been met? (If "No" please provide explanation): | | |
| Yes | | |
| Signed by: | | |

| Title of Scheme: Resurfacing of Middlepark Road, Dudley |
|--|
| Date of Executive / Cabinet approval (As part of Highways Structural Maintenance Programme): 17 March 2004 (i.e. inclusion in Capital Programme) |
| Original Budget £110,000 Planned Completion date: 14 May 2004 |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if still provisional):£111,172 Actual completion date: 13 May 2004 |
| Variation from Original Budget: Delay: None |
| Reason for Cost Variation and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate i any variation has previously been reported to Executive / Cabinet): A variation order for £2,225 was issued for the construction of new manholes and gullies, giving a |
| total budget of £112,225. The final account was then within 1% of this revised budget. The works were completed ahead of programme. |
| Original Objectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these were reported): |
| Resurface Middlepark Road to a depth of 100mm to overcome the deterioration in the pavement structure. |
| Have these Objectives been met? (If "No" please provide explanation): |
| Yes |
| Signed by: |
| O:\DUE\EnvManage\StreetCare\Works Management\Surfacing\Post Completion Review\New Reviews\PCR Middlepark Road.de |

.

| | ing of Stallings Lane, Kingswinford |
|--|--|
| Date of Executive / Cabine Maintenance Programme): (i.e. inclusion in Capital Programme) | |
| Original Budget Planned Completion date: | £163,200 7 May 2004 |
| Outturn Cost (please indica Actual completion date: | te if still provisional):£155,524 7 May 2004 |
| Variation from Original Bud Delay: None | dget: Reduction of £7,476 |
| | and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if been reported to Executive / Cabinet): |
| The final cost was within 5% of (lowe | er than) the original budget estimate. |
| The works were completed on progra | amme. |
| Original Objectives of Scho were reported): | eme (please indicate when and to whom these |
| structure. The scheme also included | of 150mm to overcome the deterioration in the pavement the provision of a layer of Glas Grid (a fabric reinforcement) to the carriageway, caused by expansion and heave of the |
| | n met? /lf "No" place provide explanation): |
| Have these Objectives bee | n met: (n no please plovide explanation). |
| | ars before it is confirmed that the Glas Grid has overcome the |

| | Title of Scheme: Resurfacing of Kingsway, Wollaston | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Maintenan | ecutive / Cabinet approval (As part of Highways Structural ce Programme): 19 March 2003 n Capital Programme) | | |
| Original Bu Planned Co | udget £184,598 Sompletion date: 16 March 2004 | | |
| | est (please indicate if still provisional):£158,519 apletion date: 16 March 2004 | | |
| Variation fr Delay: Non | om Original Budget:Reduction of £26,029 e | | |
| | Cost Variation and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if n has previously been reported to Executive / Cabinet): | | |
| | er for -£7,251 was issued to take account of a reduction in the area of the | | |
| below this revis | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and but the area of the surface course was unchanged. | | |
| below this revis binder course, | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and | | |
| below this revis binder course, The works wer | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and but the area of the surface course was unchanged. e completed on programme. | | |
| below this revis binder course, The works wer Original Ok were report | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and but the area of the surface course was unchanged. e completed on programme. | | |
| below this revis binder course, The works were Original Ok were reporte Resurface the structure. | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and but the area of the surface course was unchanged. e completed on programme. Djectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these ed): | | |
| below this revis binder course, The works were Original Ok were reporte Resurface the structure. | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and but the area of the surface course was unchanged. e completed on programme. Djectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these ed): Kingsway to a depth of 100mm to overcome the deterioration in the pavement | | |
| below this revis binder course, The works were Original Ok were reporte Resurface the structure. Have these | be treated, giving a total budget of £177,347. The final account was some 10% sed budget, due to lower quantities of planing, channel excavation, regulating and but the area of the surface course was unchanged. e completed on programme. Djectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these ed): Kingsway to a depth of 100mm to overcome the deterioration in the pavement | | |

| Date of Executive/Cabinet | |
|---|---|
| (i.e. inclusion in Capital Pr | rogramme) |
| Original Budget | £ <u>454,952</u> |
| Planned Completion date: | 09/04/2004 |
| Outturn Cost (please indica | ate if still provisional): £ <u>465,000</u> |
| Actual completion date: 2 | 8/06/2004 |
| Variation from Original Bu | dget: £ <u>10,000</u> |
| Delay: <u>10 weeks</u> | |
| Reason for Cost Variation any variation has previously | and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if |
| | been reported to Executive/Cabinet): |
| works to tenanted dwellings, mainly completion was due to inclement w | |
| works to tenanted dwellings, mainly completion was due to inclement w resources. Original Objectives of Sch | e to miscellaneous minor variations associated with carrying ou unforeseen structural repairs. The reasons for the delay in |
| works to tenanted dwellings, mainly completion was due to inclement w resources. Original Objectives of Sch were reported): The repair and improvement works dwellings together with window rep The energy efficiency of the dwellin | e to miscellaneous minor variations associated with carrying ou unforeseen structural repairs. The reasons for the delay in eather and the contractor's over-commitment of sub-contract neme (please indicate when and to whom these comprised concrete repairs to the non-traditionally constructed |
| works to tenanted dwellings, mainly completion was due to inclement w resources. Original Objectives of Sch were reported): The repair and improvement works dwellings together with window rep The energy efficiency of the dwellin insulated render and brick-slip clad | e to miscellaneous minor variations associated with carrying our unforeseen structural repairs. The reasons for the delay in eather and the contractor's over-commitment of sub-contract neme (please indicate when and to whom these comprised concrete repairs to the non-traditionally constructed lacement, chimney works, guttering and replacement of fascias ings was also improved through an aesthetic and innovative |
| works to tenanted dwellings, mainly completion was due to inclement w resources. Original Objectives of Sch were reported): The repair and improvement works dwellings together with window rep The energy efficiency of the dwellin insulated render and brick-slip clad | e to miscellaneous minor variations associated with carrying our unforeseen structural repairs. The reasons for the delay in eather and the contractor's over-commitment of sub-contract neme (please indicate when and to whom these comprised concrete repairs to the non-traditionally constructed lacement, chimney works, guttering and replacement of fascias igs was also improved through an aesthetic and innovative ding solution which was designed in consultation with tenants. |

Y Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H326 - orthdoc

| Title of Scheme: H200 – Window Replacement at Chapel St. Phase 3 |
|--|
| Date of Executive/Cabinet approval: 01/02/ 2000 (i.e. inclusion in Capital Programme) |
| Original Budget £ 899,085.00 Planned Completion date: 18/ 01/ 2002 |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if still provisional):£ 958,885.00 Actual completion date: 28/03/2002 |
| Variation from Original Budget:£ 59,800.00 Delay: 10 weeks |
| Reason for Cost Variation and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if any variation has previously been reported to Executive/Cabinet): The reason for the delay in completion and cost variation was due to additional concrete repairs and re-pointing works carried out whilst scaffolding was erected. |
| Original Objectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these were reported): Completion of the programme of double glazed window replacement to low and medium rise blocks of flats at the Chapel Street estate Brierley Hill |
| Have these Objectives been met? (If "No" please provide explanation): Yes |
| Signed by Dutanin for (Director of Housing) Date: 27/105 |

Y Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H200 - chapel st windows 3 doc

| Heating Stourbridge Area | ases 1 & 2) – Installation of Gas Fired Centra |
|--|---|
| Date of Executive/Cabinet: (i.e. inclusion in Capital Pro | |
| Original Budget: <u>£624,000</u> Planned Completion date: | 18/01/2003 |
| Outturn Cost (please indicat Actual completion date: <u>26</u> | te if still provisional): £ <u>980,000</u> //10/2003 |
| Variation from Original Bud Delay: <u>40 weeks</u> | lget: <u>£356,000</u> |
| any variation has previously | and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if been reported to Executive/Cabinet): was to install central heating to 233 properties. A further 154 owing approval by the Executive of 19 th February 2003 to at to deliver part of the central heating installation programme |
| of 2003/4. Original Objectives of Sche were reported): To provide central heating installation the requirements of the Decent Home | eme (please indicate when and to whom these n to unheated homes in line with ensuring that homes meet es Standard, whilst also contributing towards improving |
| | n met? (If "No" please provide explanation): |
| energy efficiency in domestic dwelling Have these Objectives beer | gs. |

Y 'Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H281A - heating doc

| eating Dudley & Sedgley Area | & 2) Installation of gas fired central |
|--|---|
| ate of Executive/Cabinet approval .e. inclusion in Capital Programme | |
| riginal Budget: £ <u>641,000</u> lanned Completion date: 07/03/2 | 003 |
| outturn Cost (please indicate if still p octual completion date: <u>31/10/2003</u> | |
| ariation from Original Budget: elay: <u>31 weeks</u> | £ <u>452K</u> |
| ny variation has previously been rep the original contract sum (Phase 1) was to insta roperties were added (Phase 2) following appro | all central heating to 237 properties. A further 153 |
| original Objectives of Scheme (plea | ase indicate when and to whom these |
| | ed homes in line with ensuring that homes meet d, whilst also contributing towards improving |
| lave these Objectives been met? (| If "No" please provide explanation): |
| | |

Y Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H281B - heating doc

| 4,000 |
|---------------------------|
| ate if to amme s |
| these |
| neet I |
|): |
| |

Y/Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H281C - heating doc

| | sion in Capital Programme) |
|--|--|
| - | Sudget: £ 568,000 Completion date: 24/01/2003 |
| | ost (please indicate if still provisional): £ <u>1,118,000.00</u> mpletion date: <u>15/12/2003</u> |
| Variation 1 Delay: _46 | from Original Budget:£ 550,000 weeks |
| any variati The original c | or Cost Variation and / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if on has previously been reported to Executive/Cabinet): |
| negotiate an | contract sum (Phase 1) were to install central heating to 208 properties. A further 169 ere added (Phase 2) following approval by the Executive of 19 th February 2003 to extension to the contract to deliver part of the central heating installation programme |
| negotiate an of 2003/4 Original O were repo To provide ce the requirement | ere added (Phase 2) following approval by the Executive of 19 th February 2003 to extension to the contract to deliver part of the central heating installation programme Objectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom these |
| negotiate an of 2003/4 Original O were repo To provide ce the requireme energy efficie | Prevention to the contract to deliver part of the central heating installation programme Objectives of Scheme (please indicate when and to whom thes orted): entral heating installation to unheated homes in line with ensuring that homes meet ents of the Decent Homes Standard, whilst also contributing towards improving |

| (i.e. inclusion in Capital Prog | proval: <u>18/02/2002</u> ramme) |
|--|---|
| Original Budget | , £ <u>216,567</u> |
| Planned Completion date: 2 | 25/04/2003 |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate Actual completion date: <u>27/0</u> | if still provisional): Provisional £ <u>187,000</u> 7/2003 |
| Variation from Original Budg Delay: <u>13 weeks</u> | et:£ <u>-29,567</u> |
| | d / or Delay in Completion (please indicate in een reported to Executive/Cabinet): |
| homes to carry out the works, which als required The programme has been est | were due to difficulties encountered obtaining access to to included carrying out leaseholder consultation where ablished for many years and the contract saving was rs where upon survey it was established that properties GA scheme. |
| Original Objectives of Schem were reported): | ne (please indicate when and to whom these |
| nore reported). | |
| To provide cavity wall insulation to occu | |
| To provide cavity wall insulation to occu achieving Government HECA (Home E | |
| To provide cavity wall insulation to occu achieving Government HECA (Home E | nergy Conservation Act) targets and reducing fuel poverty. |

Y Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H282A - cviridoc

| | 0/00/0000 |
|---|--|
| Date of Executive/Cabinet approval: (i.e. inclusion in Capital Programme) | 18/02/2002 |
| Original Budget | £ <u>1,088,214</u> |
| Planned Completion date: 27/07/03 | |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if still prov Actual completion date: <u>24/10/2003</u> | isional): Provisional £ <u>1,275,000</u> |
| Variation from Original Budget: Delay: <u>13 weeks</u> | £ <u>187,000</u> |
| | |
| Reason for Cost Variation and / or Dela any variation has previously been reporter The 15th October 2003 Executive approved additiona a result of additional costs attributable to reinstateme repairs, plastering and redecoration after works. The | d to Executive/Cabinet): al expenditure on the contract of £145,000 as nt of external pavings, additional structural additional works increased to £187,000 |
| any variation has previously been reporter The 15th October 2003 Executive approved additiona a result of additional costs attributable to reinstateme | d to Executive/Cabinet): al expenditure on the contract of £145,000 as nt of external pavings, additional structural additional works increased to £187,000 ntract, contributed to the delays. |
| any variation has previously been reporter The 15th October 2003 Executive approved additiona a result of additional costs attributable to reinstateme repairs, plastering and redecoration after works. The which, together with inclement weather during the cor Original Objectives of Scheme (please | d to Executive/Cabinet): al expenditure on the contract of £145,000 as nt of external pavings, additional structural additional works increased to £187,000 ntract, contributed to the delays. indicate when and to whom these the repairs to the non-traditionally constructed y works, guttering and replacement of fascias indicate when aesthetic and innovative |

Y (Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H287 - Waters1 doc.

| Title of Scheme: H28 Stourbridge/Halesow | | ow Replacements 2003/04 |
|---|---|--|
| Date of Executive/Ca (i.e. inclusion in Capi | | /02/2002 |
| Original Budget Planned Completion | date: <u>11/04/2003</u> | € <u>967,463</u> |
| Outturn Cost (please Actual completion da | 17. D-25.000 (S-200-000) | onal): Provisional £ <u>1,090,000</u> |
| Variation from Origin Delay: <u>10 weeks</u> | al Budget: | £ <u>122,537</u> |
| any variation has prev The additional costs were at initial contract was to install | tributable to installing more (3,300 windows but around 3 <-log of windows awaiting re | windows than originally envisaged. The 8,800 windows were actually installed. This eplacement as part of the repairs process |
| Original Objectives o were reported): | f Scheme (please in | dicate when and to whom these |
| The planned replacement of | original metal and timber w ical windows identified as b | indows with PVCU double glazed units and eing in need of replacement through the |
| Have these Objective | es been met? (If "No" | please provide explanation): |
| Signed by: Subari | for Director of | Housing) Date: 27/105 |

Y 'Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H2888 - window replacement (mansels).doc

| Vorks at Orchard Street | ouses External Envelope/Structural |
|--|--|
| Date of Executive/Cabinet appro i.e. inclusion in Capital Program | |
| Driginal Budget Planned Completion date: <u>13/0</u> | 02/2004 |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if st Actual completion date: <u>22/04/2</u> | till provisional): Provisional £ <u>750,000</u> 004 |
| Variation from Original Budget: . Delay: <u>10 weeks</u> | £ <u>72,228</u> |
| Reason for Cost Variation and / any variation has previously been | or Delay in Completion (please indicate if reported to Executive/Cabinet): |
| asbestos in the dwellings resulting in neces | d cost variation were due to the unforeseen discovery o sary renewal of first floor ceilings, the rebuilding of structural works together with associated plastering |
| Original Objectives of Scheme (were reported): | please indicate when and to whom these |
| The external repair and improvement works raditionally constructed dwellings together | s comprised major structural repairs to the non- with window replacement. The energy efficiency of the esthetic and innovative insulated render and brick-slip onsultation with tenants |
| Have these Objectives been me | et? (If "No" please provide explanation): |
| Yes | |
| | |

Y Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 CabinarH300 - unity doc

| Date of Executive/Cabinet appr (i.e. inclusion in Capital Progra | oval: <u>30/10/2002</u> mme) |
|--|---|
| Original Budget Planned Completion date: 01/ | £ <u>460,000</u> 08/2003 |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if s Actual completion date: 01/08/ | |
| Variation from Original Budget Delay: <u>0 weeks</u> | £ - <u>3,000</u> |
| Reason for Cost Variation and any variation has previously bee | / or Delay in Completion (please indicate if neported to Executive/Cabinet): |
| | |
| ware reported) | (please indicate when and to whom these apstan House and Forge House as part of the Housing fo |

Y:Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cations/H307 - Capitan-Forge doc.

| Date of Executive/Cabinet approval: <u>19/(</u> (i.e. inclusion in Capital Programme) Original Budget Planned Completion date: <u>14/03/2003</u> Outturn Cost (please indicate if still provisio | £ <u>362,214</u> |
|--|--|
| Planned Completion date: 14/03/2003 | € <u>362,214</u> |
| Outturn Cost (please indicate if still provision | |
| Actual completion date: 06/08/2004 | onal): £ <u>465,857</u> |
| Variation from Original Budget: Delay: <u>20 weeks</u> | £ <u>103.643</u> |
| Reason for Cost Variation and / or Delay i any variation has previously been reported t The reasons for the increase in costs and delay in comp works to undertake the additional necessary carpentry w | o Executive/Cabinet): letion were due to changes in the scope o |
| also to provide digital TV aenal upgrades in flats (where works). The delays were also caused by problems gainir final connections and digital installation works. | |
| Original Objectives of Scheme (please ind were reported): | dicate when and to whom these |
| The electrical rewiring of communal areas of the flatted of Burnham and Plant Courts) with provision made for futur | |
| Have these Objectives been met? (If "No" | please provide explanation): |
| | |

Y18udget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H316 - chapel st (booth-bodmin-burnham-st john's and plant) doc

| (i.e. inclusion in Capital Prog | proval: <u>18/02/2002</u> ramme) |
|---|--|
| ne. mendelen moderant rog | |
| Original Budget | . € <u>1.876.241</u> |
| Planned Completion date: 0 | 02/04/2004 |
| | if still provisional): Provisional £2,600,000 |
| Actual completion date: 28/0 | 17/2004 |
| Variation from Original Budg | et:£ <u>723,759</u> |
| Delay: 17 weeks | |
| any variation has previously be The reasons for the delay in completion and groundworks. The additional works | id / or Delay in Completion (please indicate in een reported to Executive/Cabinet): In and increased costs were due additional roofing, chimney is had the effect of prolonging the duration of the contract, her and problems and gaining access to adjacent homes to of the Party Wall Act. |
| Original Objectives of Schen were reported): | ne (please indicate when and to whom thes |
| | endering works, together with sundry repairs & |
| The complete external insulation and re improvements, to all pre-war houses or | n the Hawbush estate, Brierley Hill. |
| improvements, to all pre-war houses or | n the Hawbush estate, Brierley Hill. met? (If "No" please provide explanation): |
| improvements, to all pre-war houses or | |

Y (Budget and Specification/PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H321- Hawbush 3 doc.

| Title of Schem Hall | e: H322/2 – External Insula | ation to Wates Houses, Russells |
|--|--|--|
| | tive/Cabinet approval: <u>18</u> in Capital Programme) | 3/02/2002 |
| Original Budg Planned Comp | | £ <u>997,392</u> |
| | please indicate if still provis tion date: 07/07/2004 | ional): Provisional £ <u>1,047,000</u> |
| Variation from Delay: <u>10 weel</u> | an a | £ <u>49,608</u> |
| The additional cost reducing ground le- details and unfores the delay in comple | as previously been reported s were attributable to additional grou vels below what was envisaged on a een diversion of gas service pipes to | ind-works and fencing as a result of slightly sloping site, variations to roofing accommodate the works. The reasons for clement weather during the contract and the |
| Original Object were reported | | dicate when and to whom these |
| The repair and imp dwellings together The energy efficien | , rovement works comprised concrete with window replacement, chimney v cy of the dwellings was also improve | repairs to the non-traditionally constructed works, guttering and replacement of fascias, ed through an aesthetic and innovative was designed in consultation with tenants. |
| Have these Ot Yes | ojectives been met? (If "No' | " please provide explanation): |
| | | |

Y 'Budget and Specification'PROCUREMENT/Post Completion/Feb 05 Cabinet/H322 - Wates2.doc

CIPFA Prudential Indicators

The indicators set out below are specified in the CIPFA *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities* ("the Code"), which is required to be complied with as "proper practice" by Regulations issued consequent to the Local Government Act 2003. They are required to be set and revised through the process established for the setting and revising of the budget, i.e. by full Council following recommendation by the Cabinet. Indicators for the forthcoming and following years must be set before the beginning of the forthcoming year, but may be revised at any time following due process.

The first group of indicators (1-5) are essentially concerned with the prudence and affordability of the Council's capital expenditure and borrowing plans in the light of resource constraints.

The remaining indicators (6-10) are primarily concerned with day-to-day borrowing and treasury management activity. These also form part of the council's Treasury Management Strategy for 2005/06 being considered by the Audit Committee on 10th February.

The proposed figures for each indicator have been developed in the light of the Council's overall resource position and medium term financial strategy and have regard to the following matters as required by the Code:

Affordability; Prudence and Sustainability; Value for Money; Stewardship of Assets; Service Objectives; Practicality.

Affordability and prudence are specifically addressed by the indicators set out below. The other matters listed form a fundamental part of the Council's budget setting, management and monitoring procedures - as summarised in the Financial Management Regime (FMR) which forms part of the Constitution - and with particular relevance to capital expenditure, set out in more detail in the Council's Capital Strategy and Asset Management Plan.

Appropriate procedures have been established for proper management, monitoring and reporting in respect of all the indicators, and the risks associated therewith.

Indicators set for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 this time last year have been reviewed and where necessary are proposed to be updated to reflect latest forecasts.

1. Estimated and Actual Capital Expenditure

This indicator forms the background to all the other indicators, given that the overall rationale of the prudential system is to provide flexibility for borrowing to fund capital investment. Estimated capital expenditure is required to be calculated for the next 3 financial years, and actual expenditure stated for the previous financial year, with totals split between HRA and non-HRA capital expenditure.

Subject to the other proposals in this report being agreed (together with those relating to public sector housing capital expenditure contained in the relevant report elsewhere on the agenda) the proposed indicators are as follows.

| | 2003/04 £m Actual | 2004/05 £m Revised Estimate | 2005/06 £m Revised Estimate | 2006/07 £m Revised Estimate | 2007/08 £m Estimate |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Non - HRA HRA | 39.0 25.4 | 56.2 24.1 | 62.3 23.2 | 36.7 21.9 | 30.7 24.7 |
| Total | 64.4 | 80.3 | 85.5 | 58.6 | 55.4 |

2. Estimated and Actual Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

The Capital Financing Requirement is a measure of the Council's underlying need to borrow to fund its capital expenditure once other sources of funding - grants, capital receipts and revenue - have been taken into account. The CFR increases when expenditure is incurred, and reduces when provision is made to repay debt.

The proposed indicators consistent with the level of capital expenditure set out above are as follows.

| | 2003/04 £m Actual | 2004/05 £m Revised Estimate | 2005/06 £m Revised Estimate | 2006/07 £m Revised Estimate | 2007/08 £m Estimate |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Non - HRA HRA | 187.2 42.0 | 198.0 45.2 | 207.8 48.4 | 219.8 50.9 | 229.0 53.3 |
| Total | 229.2 | 243.2 | 256.2 | 270.7 | 282.3 |

3. Net Borrowing and the Capital Financing Requirement.

In order to ensure that in the medium term, borrowing can be undertaken only for capital purposes, this indicator requires that net external borrowing does not (except in the short term) exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for the current and next two financial years.

It is anticipated that this requirement will be met for the years 2004/5 to 2007/8.

4. Estimate of the Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions on Council Tax and Housing Rents

This indicator is intended to demonstrate the affordability of capital investment decisions in terms of their impact on levels of Council Tax and Housing Rents.

The current proposals to undertake unsupported "prudential borrowing" to fund capital investment are set out in section 2.9 of the report. In particular, the use of such borrowing to fund expenditure on structural improvements to roads and buildings (otherwise funded directly from revenue) will free-up revenue resources to fund priorities and increased legislative demands - including investment in the replacement of Street Lighting Columns.

The overall estimated incremental impact of the capital investment decisions set out earlier in this report on Council Tax is therefore effectively zero. The forecast debt charges resulting from anticipated borrowing are fully reflected in the figures set out in the budget strategy report elsewhere on this agenda.

The estimated incremental impact of Housing capital investment proposals (set out in the relevant report elsewhere on this agenda) on Housing Rents is also zero. This is based on the fact that rents are determined by government rent restructuring guidance and assumes that the Council will continue to comply with this guidance. Borrowing forecasts have been set at levels which will not necessitate a rent increase above guidelines. The Housing Capital Programme being proposed includes in particular "prudential borrowing" to finance necessary investment in Garages across the Borough at a cost of £1.272m. This borrowing will be repaid from the extra income generated by above-inflation Garage rent increases.

5. Estimated and Actual Ratio of Capital Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

This indicator is intended to demonstrate the affordability of capital investment decisions in terms of the ratio of capital financing costs to overall resources, expressed as a percentage. The proposed indicators consistent with the level of capital expenditure set out above are as follows.

| | 2003/04 % Actual | 2004/05 % Revised Estimate | 2005/06 % Revised Estimate | 2006/07 % Revised Estimate | 2007/08 % Estimate |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Non - HRA | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| HRA | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 |

The HRA ratio falls in 2004/5 as a result of a change in HRA accounting rules in that the HRA is no longer required to make provision for the repayment of housing debt. Both indicators are then expected to rise as borrowing (with consequent financing costs) increases faster than overall revenue.

6. The Authorised Limit, Operational Boundary, and Actual External Debt

These indicators are intended to ensure that levels of external borrowing are affordable, prudent and sustainable. The Authorised Limit for external debt is a statutory limit (as per. section 3 of the Local Government Act 2003) that should not be breached under any circumstances. The proposed limits set out below have been calculated to take account of the Council's capital expenditure and financing plans and allowing for the possibility of unusual cash movements. If this limit is likely to be breached, it will be necessary for the Council to determine if it is prudent to raise the limit, or to instigate procedures to ensure that such a breach does not occur.

The Operational Boundary for external debt is a management tool for day-to day monitoring, and has also been calculated with regard to the Council's capital expenditure and financing plans allowing for the most likely, prudent, but not worst case scenario for cash flow. Temporary breaches of the operational boundary, due to variations in cash flow, will not be regarded as significant.

Both the Authorised Limit and the Operational Boundary are split between conventional borrowing and "other long term liabilities" such as leases and other capital financing arrangements which would result in the related assets appearing on the Council's Balance Sheet. Such arrangements would include for example finance leases for the procurement of vehicles. Provided that the total Authorised Limit and Operational Boundary are not exceeded, the Director of Finance may authorise movement between the constituent elements within each total so long as such changes are reported to the next appropriate meeting of the Cabinet and Council.

It is not considered necessary to amend the Authorised Limit and Operational Boundary for 2004/05 set this time last year.

| | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 Revised | 2006/07 Revised | 2007/08 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | £m | £m | £m | £m | £m |
| Authorised limit for external debt: | | | | | |
| Borrowing | n/a | 515 | 529 | 535 | 538 |
| Other long term liabilities | n/a | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| Total | n/a | 518 | 534 | 542 | 546 |
| Operational boundary: | | | | | |
| Borrowing | n/a | 459 | 480 | 487 | 497 |
| other long term liabilities | n/a | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| Total | n/a | 462 | 485 | 494 | 505 |
| Actual External Debt: | | | | | |
| Borrowing | 427.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Other long term liabilities | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 427.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

7. Adoption of the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services

This indicator is a fundamental requirement of the new system in so far as it relates to treasury management activity. The Council adopted the CIPFA *Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services* in March 2002.

8. Upper Limits on Fixed Interest Rate and Variable Interest Rate Exposures

These indicators relate to the percentage of net borrowing (gross borrowing less investments) held at fixed or variable interest rates, and allow the Council to manage the extent to which it is exposed to changes in interest rates. The proposed upper limit for fixed interest reflects the fact that it is possible to construct a prudent treasury strategy on the basis of using only fixed rate debt and investments, so long as the maturity dates of these debts and investments are reasonably spread. The same does not apply to variable rates where a 100% exposure could lead to significant year on year fluctuations in the cost of debt. The proposed upper limit for variable rate exposure allows for the use of variable rate debt to offset our exposure to changes in short-term rates on our portfolio of investments. This limit reduces over time as our strategy is to gradually reduce our level of investments.

It is not considered necessary to amend the limits for 2004/05 set this time last year.

| | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | % | % | % | % |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Upper limit for fixed interest rate exposure | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Upper limit for variable rate exposure | 30 | 20 | 15 | 15 |

9. Upper and Lower Limits for the Maturity Structure of Borrowing

The indicator for the maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing is designed to protect against excessive exposures to interest rate changes in any one period, in particular in the course of the next ten years. On the basis of prudent treasury management, the following limits are proposed:

| Maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing | Upper limit % | Lower limit % |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| under 12 months | 10 | 0 |
| 12 months and within 24 months | 10 | 0 |
| 24 months and within 5 years | 15 | 0 |
| 5 years and within 10 years | 25 | 0 |
| 10 years and above | 100 | 40 |

10. Limits for Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than 364 Days

The purpose of the prudential limits for principal sums invested for periods longer than 364 days is to contain the Council's exposure to the possibility of loss that might arise as a result of having to seek early repayment of principal sums invested. On the basis of prudent treasury management the proposed upper limit on principal maturing in any one year for sums invested for over 364 days is (as last year) £15m.