

Appendix A

Road	Objection	Council Response
Brookwillow Road – one way Plan 1	A petition of 39 signatures and 23 individual objections. Restrict residents movements and see no advantage to proposal. 1 letter of support.	Resident request . The majority of residents are opposed to the proposals. Recommend that this is not included in the final Order.
Brookwillow Road Area Plan 2	1 resident of Brookwillow Road and 1 resident of Hollybank Grove, restrictions cover too much of the day, more inconvenient to residents than existing situation. 7 people who take children to school, would make reaching school difficult. Have right to take children safely to school by car. 1 business runs after school events not allowed access to school car park and would not be able to continue. 2 letters of support from residents.	Support for the yellow lines was received from 35 residents via the Ward Members. Given this level of support recommend that the objections are overruled.
Meadowbrook Road, Thornhill Road. Plan 3	1 objection from shop worker. This limited waiting will force workers to park on the narrow road making a poor situation worse. 1 objection from resident of Thornhill Road. There is already problem with cars parked outside the house, when drivers go to shops. The limited waiting will cause more vehicles to park outside houses. There should be double yellow lines outside the houses opposite the shops.	No objections to double yellow lines at Meadowbrook Road. Limited waiting was requested to increase the possibility of customers finding a space to visit the shops. The proposals cannot be increased without advertising a new Order. Recommend objections are overruled.
Cherry Tree Lane Area Plan 4	2 objections from residents who have no off street parking. Proposals would move their vehicles outside neighbours causing problems. The cottages were built before the houses further along and road should have been widened by developer if a problem was thought to exist. Valuable equipment in vehicle cannot be left a distance away.	Recommend that the yellow lines are not included in the final Halesowen Order.

Highfield Lane – one way Plan 5	2 objections from nearby residents. Residents from the rest of Highfield Lane and surrounding area will be forced to drive past the congested bus station area to get to their homes from Queensway, adding to existing problems.	Request from resident the effect on other residents is high. Recommend that this road is not included in the final Order.
Cavalier Drive junction with Highfield Lane Plan 6	1 objection from parent taking child to school. There is no need for lines as parking at a junction already illegal. The lines would not be effective as visibility blocked by bushes. There would be no enforcement and no collisions have taken place.	Parking at the junction make entry and exit difficult. Enforcement is provided when needed. A school is nearby. Recommend that the objection is overruled.
Furnace Coppice – prohibition of driving except for access. Plan 7	3 objections from persons living outside the borough, who park in the road when working nearby. It is private land. Would push parking onto more major roads nearby.	Furnace Coppice is a public highway, not maintained at public expense. It is narrow and only intended to provide access to few properties. Householders concerned that emergency service access cannot be maintained. Recommend objections are overruled.
Haysech Road – one way, short length at Hawne Lane. Plan 8	2 objections from nearby residents. Appears only to benefit one resident rather than residents in the local area.	The majority of residents are opposed to the proposals. Recommend that this is not included in the final Halesowen Order.
Hill Bank Road – one way Plan 9	A 93 signature petition from local residents. This serves no purpose. Part of the road is very steep and dangerous in icy weather. Residents should not be forced to use this part of the road by making it one way.	The vast majority of residents are against this proposal suggested by local resident. Recommend that this road is not included in the final Order.
Colman Hill Avenue Plan 10	1 objection from a resident, who disagreed with the scheme as not improving anything for him.	Part of the road is narrow and any parked vehicles prevent access. The objectors home is over 20 metres from the proposals. The proposals cover the narrowest section of the road. Recommend that the objection is overruled.

<p>Frankley Avenue, Goodrest Avenue, Howley Grange Road, Shenstone Valley Road, Spies Lane. Plan 11</p>	<p>1 letter of support from Shenstone Valley Road and Spies Lane. Shenstone Valley Road 4 objections. Displacement of vehicles into their road. Increase speeds. Should be on one side only. Spies Lane. 4 objections from residents and a petition of 87 signatures organised by a business. Parking slows traffic would be less safe. It would cause more problems for residents than existing situation. Only problems caused by hairdressers Petition, business would suffer, parking would be displaced to adjacent streets, Council should be protecting established businesses. Howley Grange Road. 7 objections from residents and a petition of 694 signatures organised by the shops. This would force residents to park on the other side of the road from their houses and increase competition for space with shoppers. Shops have only limited off road parking and this proposal would threaten the viability of the businesses.</p>	<p>This originates from resident request. However, resident and business objections are in the majority. Recommend that the yellow lines are not included in the final Order. This means that all advertised proposals for these streets will not be pursued.</p>
<p>Manor Lane and Shenstone Avenue Waiting restriction Plan 12</p>	<p>3 objections from adjacent shops and petition of 84 signatures organised by a shop. Little existing parking and this restriction would threaten the shops continuing in business.</p>	<p>Request from a resident to fill in gap in existing yellow lines on Shenstone Avenue. Recommend that a length of road is left for parking. These yellow lines have been in place for some decades without an Order.</p>
<p>Grange Crescent Plan 13</p>	<p>2 letters of support 3 objections from residents who would like restriction to remain on the opposite side of the road to their house.</p>	<p>This was a residents request. Recommend that proposal is not pursued.</p>
<p>Halesmere Way, Woodman Avenue Waiting restriction Plan 14</p>	<p>Halesmere Way. 1 letter of support, 2 objections. The objectors believe that as the road is a dual carriageway there is sufficient space for parking to be allowed.</p>	<p>This is a post flooding emergency access issue. Maintenance vehicles are currently unable to access the embankment and river culvert that the road is built on. Recommend an additional length of waiting is made available.</p>

Chadbury Road No waiting Plan 15	15 objections and a petition of 44 signatures. Proposals too restrictive on residents. Not needed for flooding reasons.	Majority of residents and against the proposals. Recommend reduced length to cover access to a drainage gully.
Islington Waiting restriction Plan 16	2 objections from residents. Most of the homes have no off road parking and the limited waiting would prevent residents parking during the day. There is no parking available nearby.	Recommend that the limited waiting section is omitted from the final Order.
High Street Service Road Plan 17	1 objection from resident of a flat on Stourbridge Road who has no off road parking. They would be forced to pay for parking which would "eat into" a limited income.	The request was from shops concerned that vehicles were parked all day, preventing customers using the spaces. Recommend that the objection is overruled.
Dudley Road and Forge Lane Plan 18	1 objection from resident of Dudley Road. Residents do not have anywhere to park other than outside their homes. The existing restrictions are enough. This would decrease the value of houses.	The proposals on Dudley Road are for short lengths each side of two junctions to improve visibility overnight when the existing day time restrictions are not in force. The objectors house is over 10 metres from the end of the proposal. Recommend that the objection is overruled.
Maypole Fields, Maypole Hill, The Forge, Cradley Forge. Plan 19	1 objection from a resident of The Forge. He asked for further details but, has not given detail of objection.	Requested by a resident of The Forge. It covers only the area close to the junction with the main road, for access and vehicles. Recommend overrule objection.