

## Appendix A

Road	Objection	Council's Response
Birmingham Street	Objector works in Stourbridge and states cannot afford car park charges. Car park charges should be reduced or space left on street.	A458 is the main east west link across the south of the borough. This section carries high flows of buses and heavy goods vehicles. Large vehicles are forced over the centre line of the road by this parking in the face of oncoming traffic. Recommend overruling the objection.
Brook Road	10 objections from residents who have no off street parking. They consider that the proposals would unfairly limit their chance to park near their homes.	A pedestrian island placed near Redhill. If vehicles park close to it large vehicles cannot get through. Recommend shorten line as drawing <b>No TM 2932</b> Revision B.
Cobden Street	2 objections, reduction of space for residents and does not cause any problems.	This was a request from a resident. Recommend this is removed from the final Order.
Gayfield Avenue area Monkswell Close	1 Objection, takes child to school, proposed would be very difficult. Petition of 18 signatures and 12 individual objections from residents. The proposals would be worse for residents than the existing situation.	These proposals were made following residents complaints. The majority of residents are against the proposals. Therefore, it is recommended that the daytime waiting restrictions in Monkswell Close and Walthamstow Court are removed from the final Order and a

<p>Walthamstow Court</p> <p>Turners Lane</p>	<p>Petition of 7 signatures from residents stating there is no need for an all day restriction, hours to cover school start and finish only.</p> <p>1 letter of support from a resident for the proposals.</p> <p>2 objections from residents who consider the effect of the proposals to be worse than the existing problem.</p> <p>2 objections from nearby residents who believe the proposals would just move the problems to outside their homes.</p>	<p>section of double yellow line removed from Gayfield Avenue. As shown on drawing <b>No TM2941</b> Revision A. The proposals on Turners lane cover the junction with Gayfield Avenue and an additional length to prevent parking where the school crossing patrol operates. It is recommended that these lengths should remain in the final Order.</p>
<p>Greenfield Avenue</p>	<p>1 objection from a resident against reduction in parking.</p> <p>1 letter of support from a resident stating the parking is a hazard.</p>	<p>The proposal is an extension of 25 metres to the existing double yellow lines and covers the area of operation of the school crossing patrol. Recommend that this length should remain in the final Order</p>
<p>Hagley Road and Whitehall Road junction</p>	<p>2 objections from residents who state there was only ever a problem with vehicles from a family who have now moved out. The proposal is not necessary</p>	<p>The proposal was a response to a resident's request. In view of objections recommend this is removed from the final Order, as on drawing TM2933 Revision A.</p>
<p>Hagley Road and Chaddesley Road junction</p>	<p>1 objection from resident states proposal unnecessary. 1 resident supports keeping junction with main road clear.</p>	<p>The proposal was a response to a resident request. Recommend the junction remains in the final Order.</p>
<p>Hagley Road and Parish Gardens junction</p>	<p>2 objections from residents of Hagley Road who believe the length of Hagley Road is longer than necessary.</p>	<p>Originally a request from a resident. Recommend shortening the proposal as on drawing <b>No TM 2935</b> Revision A.</p>

Hall Street	12 objections and a petition of 55 signatures stating many houses do not have off street parking and this will reduce available space.	Requested by a business with difficulties entering their premises. Recommend shortening the proposal as on drawing <b>No TM 2931</b> Revision A.
High Street, Stourbridge proposed bay for vehicles displaying a blue badge	5 objections from businesses in High Street stating there's a lack of parking spaces. Blue badge holders have sufficient spaces allocated and can also park on yellow lines. This will greatly affect their trade. 1 letter of support from a blue badge holder.	Requested by blue badge holders. Recommend shortening the proposal as on drawing <b>No TM2928</b> Revision A.
Holly Grove	4 objections who consider that the proposals would be worse for them than the current vehicles left by people visiting town centre.	Request from a resident. Majority of residents do not want the proposal. Recommend this street is removed from the final Order, as on drawing <b>No TM 2927</b> Revision A.
Love Lane and Farlands	Objection from 7 homes on Love Lane. They state that there is not enough parking available for them before any yellow lines are placed. 2 objections from 2 homes on Farlands road. These state that there is not enough parking and these proposals just make it worse.	Heath Lane is the B4186 and carries high traffic flow. The junction of Love Lane with Heath Lane needs to be kept clear for safe entry and exit. The new houses have increased the problem at the junction. Recommend that the proposals for the junction of Heath Lane and Love Lane are made as advertised. The junction of Love Lane and Farlands Road has low traffic flows. Recommend that the lines at the junction are reduced as drawing No TM2930 Revision A and the

		lines on the bend are made as advertised.
Mount Street and Mount Road	11 objections from residents and businesses in the streets. They state that there is little problem and the effect on them is far out of proportion.	This was request from a resident. The majority are against the proposals. Recommend that only a short length of yellow line is now placed at the junction with Foster Street East, as drawing <b>No TM 2946</b> Revision A. The remainder should not be made.
Parkfield Road	79 objections were received of which 12 suggested a different type of order. A petition of 84 signatures against the proposals was also received. 2 letters of support were received.	This proposal was suggested by residents, but there is an overwhelming majority against. Recommend the proposal extension of double yellow lines on Parkfield Road is removed from the final Order, as on drawing <b>No TM 2946</b> Revision A.
Priory Road and Church Road, Oldswinford	2 objections were received from residents of the areas who were concerned about the reduction of parking spaces. 3 residents of Priory Road sent letters of support.	The proposals were made in response to a petition of 167 signatures from residents of the area. Requests from resident and the local member of parliament have also been received. Recommend proposals for Priory Road and Church Road are made as advertised.
South Road junction with Clark Street	1 objection who states the proposals would cause more problems than current	The proposals are designed to prevent parking close to the junction, which is causing a hazard for resident driving in and out of the wide road onto the B4186. This is part of a local safety scheme. Recommend that the proposal is made as

		advertised as on drawing <b>No 2959</b> .
Spring Street, Lye	4 objections and a petition of 16 signatures who consider the yellow lines to be an unnecessary restriction on their parking spaces.	The proposals were made in response to a response to a resident's request. But large majority of residents are against it. Recommend that Spring Street is removed from the final Order, as on drawing <b>No 2938</b> Revision A.
College Road, Hanbury Hill, Pepper Hill and Worcester Street	<p>1 letter of support from a resident of Worcester Street.</p> <p>1 letter of support from a resident of Pargeter Street, who asked for the proposals on Worcester Street to be extended to cover the full area opposite Pargeter Street due to difficulties driving out.</p> <p>Objections from all 4 houses on College Road. They state that problems only occur at the junction with Hanbury Hill and the remainder of the proposal is an unnecessary restraint on their visitors.</p> <p>Objection on behalf of the church on Hanbury Hill on the grounds of reduction of parking space and there are no current problems.</p> <p>1 objection from a resident of Pepper Hill who considers the proposals will just move the existing problems into Pepper Hill.</p>	<p>The advertised proposal cannot be extended without a new advertisement.</p> <p>The proposals originate from a request by a resident of Hanbury Court off College Road. Majority against.</p> <p>Recommend that only the section at the junction of Hanury Hill is retained as drawing <b>No TM2929</b> Revision A.</p> <p>In the first section of Hanbury Hill from Chapel Street to the end of the garages is only wide enough for parking on one side without blocking vehicle access. The next section of Hanbury Hill up to Pepper Hill is narrower and has a wall at one edge of the carriageway. If vehicles are parked</p>

	<p>Objections from 8 residents of Worcester Street. These residents are not directly affected by the proposals but they believe that displaced vehicle will fill the parking spaces outside their homes. They also raise concerns that vehicles speed will increase if parking is removed from the sharp bend.</p> <p>An objection on behalf of the medical practice on Worcester Street. They are concerned that nearby proposals will make worse the lack of parking around the surgery. They state that the proposals 'will ignite further disgruntlement and cause additional stress to those in most need of ease of access'.</p> <p>8 Objections from Hill Street, 1 objection from Pargeter Street and 11 objections from Bailie Street. These objections all state that proposals in College Road, Hanbury Hill and Worcester Street will cause parking to transfer to their streets. They consider that there is already insufficient parking for residents on street. 3 of these objections are also concerned that the Worcester Street proposals will increase vehicle speeds around the sharp bend.</p>	<p>on one side it is impossible for large vehicles to reach Pepper Hill or the final section of Hanbury Hill. Recommend that these sections are made as advertised, as on drawing <b>No TM 2929</b> Revision A.</p> <p>The proposals for double yellow lines on Worcester Street are on the sharp bend only. On bends vehicles require extra width to complete the turn. When vehicles are parked on the bend moving traffic is forced to cross the centre line of the road. If there are large vehicles this leads to vehicles on the inside of the bend mounting the footway. It is considered that the safety hazard outweighs the inconvenience of vehicles displaced into adjacent streets. Recommend that the proposals on Worcester Street are introduced as advertised, as on drawing <b>No TM 2929</b> Revision A.</p>
--	--	---